1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF COLORADO
3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
4	DOCKET NO. 24A-0442E
5	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
6	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE
8	COMPANY OF COLORADO FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2024 JUST
9	TRANSITION SOLICITATION.
10	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
11	Pursuant to notice to all parties of interest,
12	the above entitled matter came on for an in-person
13	public comment hearing in Pueblo, Colorado, before
14	Chairman Eric Blank, Commissioner Megan Gilman, and
15	Commissioner Tom Plant commencing at 4:00 p.m. on
16	Thursday, April 17, 2025, said proceedings having
17	been reported in shorthand by Tracy Boulais.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Afternoon Session
2	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
3	CHAIRMAN BLANK: We are now on the record.
4	It is 4:10 on Thursday, April 17th, 2025. Again,
5	this is a public comment hearing in Proceeding No.
6	24A-0442E, Public Service Company of Colorado's Just
7	Transition Solicitation filing or JTS. Again, I'm
8	Eric Blank, the PUC Chair.
9	I just want to start off thanking the
10	community for hosting us. It's great to be back
11	here. Appreciate everyone coming out. And I'm very
12	much looking forward to hearing your comments.
13	I will have more to say in a few minutes,
14	but first, can my colleagues introduce themselves for
15	the record?
16	COMMISSIONER GILMAN: Good afternoon. My
17	name is Megan Gilman. I'm a member of the Colorado
18	Public Utilities Commission. Happy to be here in
19	Pueblo with you all and to take your comments today.
20	I'm really happy to see a lot of turnout and very
21	interested to hear the comments that you all have on
22	this proceeding.
23	So thanks so much for coming and for
24	sharing with us in advance. Appreciate it.
25	COMMISSIONER PLANT: And my name is Tom

- 1 Plant, Commissioner on the Public Utilities
- 2 Commission. I also want to thank everybody for
- 3 coming out. I know there is a lot of things you
- 4 could be doing tonight, and I appreciate you making
- 5 the time to come out and participate in this public
- 6 hearing.
- 7 As Ms. Castle read off, you can hear there
- 8 is an awful lot of different organizations that are
- 9 intervening, and we hear from them through their
- 10 testimony and through the course of the hearing. But
- 11 this is our unique opportunity to hear directly from
- 12 the community. And so I really appreciate you coming
- out and participating today.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you. Before we
- 15 begin taking comments, I want to briefly explain how
- 16 a public comment hearing works.
- 17 There is a court reporter who is listening
- to this proceeding taking down what you say for the
- 19 record. This comment hearing is formal like a court
- 20 proceeding, and we ask that the audience be
- 21 respectful of the speakers that comment today. The
- 22 hearing also has simultaneous interpretation for
- 23 Spanish language speakers tonight.
- In our role as Commissioners at this stage
- of the process, we are here to listen and learn and

to make sure your comments are reflected in the
record. We will not answer questions or respond to
statements. Like a judge, we cannot engage in
dialogue on a case pending before us. The case is
ongoing, and we will not make any decisions until
after the evidentiary hearing in June.

I'll call on speakers based on when people signed up. If you have not signed up, please find the PUC staff in the lobby to get signed up if you would like to speak. But I will also give an opportunity for anyone to just come up to the microphone. And it will be at that microphone right in front of us.

There are many speakers here today. To allow time for everyone, I have requested each commenter try and limit themselves to roughly three minutes.

In addition, there is another in-person meeting in Hayden on May 1st from 4:00 to 6:00. And virtual comment hearings will be held April 28th from 11:00 to 1:00 and on Thursday, June 5th, from 5:00 to 7:00.

The Commission equally weighs public comments received whether written or orally. So to the extent you would like to, we would encourage you

- 1 to file written comments. They can be filed through
- the Commission's e-filing system, submitted by the
- 3 Commission's online comment forum, or e-mailed to
- 4 dora\_puc\_website@state.co.us. In each case, please
- 5 specify this proceeding as 24A-0442E.
- As I said before, we very much look
- forward to hearing from everybody. We certainly
- 8 don't have all the answers, which is why this process
- 9 and hearing from everybody is so important. With
- 10 that, I want to thank everyone again for taking the
- 11 time to be here and share your comments.
- 12 And we will start with County Commissioner
- 13 Miles Lucero if you are here. And then Nick
- 14 Gradisar, Sal Pace, and Alyssa Bellany if you would
- 15 like to offer comments. And we will keep going from
- 16 there.
- 17 SPEAKER LUCERO: Good evening, everybody.
- 18 Good evening, Commissioners.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BLANK: You are off to a good
- 20 start.
- 21 SPEAKER LUCERO: Thank you all very much
- for being here tonight. I really appreciate it.
- I am Miles Lucero, and I serve as Pueblo
- 24 County Commissioner. I'm very proud to represent
- 25 this community where I was born, raised, and now

1 raising my own children.

As we plan for life after Comanche 3,

Pueblo County stands united around a core set of

values; creating good-paying local jobs, protecting

our tax base, and building a sustainable, resilient

energy future.

Comanche 3 has long been a pillar of our economy supporting hundreds of workers and generating over \$31 million annually in property tax revenue. Its retirement marks a turning point, but it is also a chance to invest in something better. Not just replacing what we are losing, but building a stronger, more sustainable foundation for the generations to come.

One of the most critical pieces of this conversation is the payment in lieu of taxes. These payments are not just a line item in our county budget. They are central to how we fund our schools, fire districts, libraries, and countless other services Pueblo families rely on.

The proposed payments from PSCO must reflect the scale of what our community is losing. If we don't replace that revenue through jobs, new generation, or both, the consequences won't be abstract. They will be felt in classrooms,

libraries, and public health clinics across ourcounty.

There is a broad agreement across our

community on a few key principles. First, whatever

generation replaces Comanche 3 should be sited

locally.

Second, it should be built by local workers compensated fairly and protected under the standards of Senate Bill 23-292 which includes prevailing wage and apprenticeship utilization.

These are not just policy boxes to check.

They are a way to ensure that this transition creates family-sustaining careers and uplifts working Puebloans.

I also want to acknowledge that I've heard clearly from many Pueblo residents. Pueblo wants a clean energy future. People are proud of the projects we have made, the projects like a solar-powered steel mill. And they also see us continuing building on that momentum.

In that spirit, I believe the Pueblo
Renewable Energy Park represents a practical
near-term step for addressing our generation needs.
It leverages resources we already have; solar, wind,
and battery storage, and can be deployed on the

timeline that meets the urgency of the Comanche transition. I believe it is a credible part of the

solution, and I fully support the consideration.

Ultimately, the thing that we must -- the most important thing is that we must stay grounded in the present with a vision on the future. A clean energy future that creates economic opportunity right here in Pueblo. We need to lead with vision, not just forecast. And we need to ensure that every step we take puts working families, long-term prosperity, and local control at the center of that transition.

Thank you very much genuinely for being here. We appreciate your time. Have a great evening.

15 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Gradisar.

SPEAKER GRADISAR: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. My name is Nick Gradisar. And
between 2019 and 2024, I had the pleasure of serving
as the mayor for the city of Pueblo. Thank you for
having this hearing in Pueblo tonight on a matter of
great importance to the citizens of this community.

During my time as mayor, we received our first Just Transition's grant from the Colorado

Department of Energy. And while I was the mayor, we

focused on replacing the jobs and the taxes and not
the generation. Because Pueblo wasn't receiving
energy from Xcel Energy at that time.

Now, before I became the mayor, I served on the Water Board for 14 years. And I was on the Water Board when Comanche 3 was commissioned. And we entered into a long-term lease of water for Comanche 3 in its operation.

Shortly -- and at that time, Comanche 3 provided energy to our power provider. But shortly after that lease was entered into, they made a determination not to sell power to us any longer. And we ended up building the Pueblo Airport Generating Station.

In view of recent events, I don't have to remind you that the high cost of energy in Pueblo has been a hindrance to our economic development efforts here. I know you've heard testimony, ad nauseam probably, from people in this community about the effect of those high energy prices.

You know, as a young man going to college, I worked at CF&I Steel. My grandfather worked there. My dad worked there. And in 2022, Pueblo received worldwide attention when EVRAZ and Xcel Energy commissioned the world's largest solar-powered steel

- 1 mill ending 140 years of fossil fuel reliance. We
- 2 received a lot of attention from around the world.
- 3 And that helped us retain 300 jobs here. That was an
- 4 innovative solution to a problem.
- I want to make it clear that I don't
- 6 oppose nuclear power, per se. What I do oppose is a
- 7 Just Transition Plan that relies on small modular
- 8 reactors technology that is not yet perfected. We
- 9 don't have time to wait for that technology to be
- 10 perfected in the city of Pueblo.
- 11 Instead, Pueblo should implement
- forward-thinking solutions to replace Comanche 3 by
- 13 2031. And I think the Renewable Energy Park project
- is such a proposal. It could generate up to \$40
- million annually in property taxes and create 300
- 16 jobs in the community, help us diversify our
- 17 employment base here.
- 18 I think the Public Utilities Commission
- 19 can help Pueblo diversify its economy by somehow
- 20 allowing -- and I know it would take some
- 21 finessing -- some of the cheaper energy from a
- Renewable Energy Park to be used in Pueblo for new
- industries that would be flexible energy users here
- in this community.
- 25 This would help us create permanent jobs

- 1 in engineering, business operations, and industry as
- 2 envisioned by the energy park. And it could start
- 3 operations and begin before 2030.
- 4 As mayor, I supported Xcel Energy's pilot
- 5 battery storage project on the grounds of Comanche 3.
- 6 I think they got the grant for working on that. But
- 7 with extensive storage at Comanche 3, that can make a
- 8 big difference as that technology continues to
- 9 develop.
- 10 A Pueblo Renewable Energy Park will
- 11 address economic challenges from Comanche 3's closure
- 12 and position Pueblo as a leader in industrial
- innovation once again. A lot of work, obviously,
- 14 needs to be done on the concept of the Renewable
- 15 Energy Park, but it represents a large step towards a
- 16 Just Transition for the city of Pueblo.
- 17 Thank you very much for being here this
- 18 evening.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 20 Mr. Sal Pace. Ms. Alyssa Bellany. And
- then Mr. Dennis Obduskey.
- 22 SPEAKER PACE: Thank you, Commissioners.
- 23 My name is Sal Pace. Thanks for coming to Pueblo.
- 24 You know, Pueblo often feels like we are not heard up
- 25 north. And when you come down here, you show that

1 you are here to listen to us, and we appreciate that.

My name is Sal Pace, and I served a couple
terms in the statehouse. And I served as the
minority leader there. And a couple terms as a
Pueblo County Commissioner. Today I am a citizen,
which is probably the most important position.

I have two of my kids here. I just want to introduce them, Alana Pace and Wyatt Pace. I made them come from school to come over here to see some democracy in action. So you better not disappoint.

So Comanche has been really critical for our community for a long time. And commissioners who preceded me in the Board of County Commissioners actually provided a substantial tax abatement to encourage Xcel to build Comanche 3. And the reason was we would have a long-term, sustainable tax revenue and jobs from Comanche.

And when I was a county commissioner, I actually ran a deep roots that looked at all of our repealing tax abatements. Comanche, the cement plant, and a few others captured the retiring tax abatements and put them in a fund to fund a bunch of special projects around the county. We called that 1-A. And it funded everything from the Riverwalk, and now it's going for the new jail, to downtown

redevelopment, and a lot of money that was really relied on from some of our biggest taxpayers.

And Comanche continues to be our number one tax-paying entity in the community. And if you think about it, from everything from law enforcement to schools to libraries and the Water Board. So Comanche is a really important part of our community. And not just because of the tax revenue -- nearly 25 percent of all of our local tax revenue, but also the good jobs that come along with Comanche.

And so that is why you see a good crowd here today is this is a critically important issue as we consider what is a Just Transition for the future of Comanche.

And so I'm really excited about the proposal that's coming forward from Energy Innovation to propose the Renewable Energy Park concept, a concept that would partner with neighboring communities on the energy generation with solar outside of Pueblo -- or wind outside of Pueblo, solar inside of Pueblo, and bring all the energy into Pueblo. The concept of massive storage as well as a flexible load and the jobs that it can support.

And Pueblo is a unique position, especially with the PuebloPlex, because PuebloPlex is

not beholden to the franchise agreement. So it is a unique sort of spot that can -- this is the old Army Depot north of town. And it is gigantic. And it is an opportunity. It's been transitioned over from feds to local control.

It is an opportunity to build a lot of manufacturing jobs there and then start to generate energy from someone other than Black Hills whose prices are really driving a lot of business and jobs out.

And so I fully support and fully understand the focus and concern of our labor friends, our electrical worker friends. And I think that anything that happens must be done with a Project Labor Agreement.

This can be done with your assistance. It can be done with the assistance of the Board of County Commissioners as they permit these projects. It can be done with bringing Xcel to the table in critical negotiation.

And so not only should all these projects move forward with Project Labor Agreement in a strong union town. And the reason it is a strong union town is because 111 years ago, John D. Rockefeller massacred our neighbors and friends because they were

- 1 fighting for better living conditions.
- 2 And that is why we continue to fight for
- 3 good-paying jobs in the poorest large county in the
- 4 state, but also because we need to create a long-term
- 5 sustainable future for our kids and our next
- 6 generation.
- 7 And so thank you for coming to Pueblo.
- 8 These are important issues that are critically
- 9 important to our kids, my kids, to make sure that we
- 10 have a strong future here. And I hope you will
- 11 consider this proposal.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 13 Alyssa Bellany, Dennis Obduskey,
- 14 Mr. George Samaras, Mr. Thomas Kelley.
- 15 SPEAKER BELLANY: Hello. My name is
- 16 Alyssa Bellany. I am a mother, an educator, and a
- 17 resident of Pueblo. I am also a community advocate.
- 18 And I am tired of Pueblo getting the waste of Denver
- 19 without any of the benefits.
- I'm also a little stressed out that we all
- 21 have to come here to ask for people to take notice of
- us down here and think about the safety and the risks
- 23 of people in Pueblo.
- So I want to talk about the safety and the
- 25 risks. Nuclear reactors can fail catastrophically

- 1 leading to disasters like Chernobyl and Fukushima.
- We all remember Chernobyl. Solar and wind do not
- 3 pose such risks. There is no risk of meltdown.
- 4 There is no radiation exposure when we are
- 5 talking about clean energy, so there is no such
- 6 hazards. Nuclear energy exposes workers and
- 7 surrounding communities to radiation risks. That's
- 8 us. That's our children. That's our grandchildren.
- 9 It is also less vulnerable to terrorism.
- 10 Because nuclear plants are prime targets for attacks
- 11 due to their hazardous materials, while wind and
- 12 solar installations are far less risky.
- Again, we want to protect our hometown.
- 14 There is no risk of fuel shortages. Uranium supply
- is finite, but sunlight and wind are abundant and
- 16 free. There is no risk of waste and leaks. Nuclear
- 17 waste must be stored safely for thousands of years.
- 18 Thousands of years. While clean energy does not have
- 19 this issue.
- 20 And as an English teacher, I have a little
- 21 limerick. In Pueblo, our plan is looking smart. We
- want an energy park with some heart. No nukes in the
- 23 mix, just clean modern tricks. Less risk and a much
- 24 greener style.
- Thank you so much for coming.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 2 Mr. Dennis Obduskey. Mr. George Samaras.
- 3 Mr. Thomas Kelley.

development.

- 4 SPEAKER OBDUSKEY: Thank you for coming.
- 5 My name is Dennis Obduskey. I'm a second generation
- 6 Pueblo native.

12

- And our focus today is on Just Transition
  around plant shutdown and the nuclear plant. But two
  major topics that haven't really gotten a lot of
  attention involve insurance ramifications and
  property value ramifications of any potential new
- Homeowners and business -- we all have
  experience with insurance companies and are all
  thrilled with how that works, right? Homeowners and
  businesses pay higher rates because their threat for
  hail damage is higher in Pueblo and Southern
  Colorado.
- Wildfire risks relate to climate change.

  And insurance companies have pulled back to not interview -- to not renew contracts. Well, those are things that, in theory, we don't have a choice about.

  But we have a choice about the kind of businesses that we build here in Pueblo.
- 25 So Medicare and health insurance providers

1 have allowed different companies to provide different

2 products depending on even the zip code that you

3 wear -- that you live in. And Pueblo suffers higher

4 rates of respiratory ailments than the state average.

And my mom passed away decades ago from COPD, no

6 doubt related to some of the health concerns here.

My mom was born during the 1921 flood.

Any new generation is going to have its own liability issues. But those costs are all going to be passed on to consumers. And any new industries will impact property values as well.

So the insurance is still going to be an issue, and our choice here is going to impact everybody on their insurance, both homeowners and health insurance, especially.

Though it is not openly discussed -- you know, Comanche provides power and plenty of negative impacts. But not openly discussed, it is clear that the federal Department of Energy is pushing nuclear power here for more than a decade, even creating a train to nowhere to eliminate nuclear waste that they started here in Pueblo.

The NRC requires hundreds of millions of dollars in on-site property insurance related to full-sized operating plants required to cover the

- 1 licensee's obligation -- this is out of their cite --
- 2 to stabilize and decontaminate the reactor and site
- 3 after an accident. Now, this is a big plant, but
- 4 it's all about scale.
- 5 Those costs, too, are going to be passed
- on to the consumers. And the insurance rates from
- 7 building a nuclear plant here aren't going to make
- 8 things cheaper for anybody. It is another
- 9 opportunity to get stuck by the insurance industry.
- 10 So as a low-income community, Pueblo has
- 11 continued to be marginalized. And this is an
- opportunity for the PUC to lift up Southern Colorado
- 13 by supporting renewable energy and more -- and move
- 14 toward a less toxic energy -- and less toxic
- 15 industries.
- 16 Just Transition requires Just Transition
- for everyone. And insurance is a big deal that will
- impact everybody. And it is not something that I
- 19 think has been dug into to get the actuarial numbers
- that the bean counters I know will have.
- So, thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 23 Mr. George Samaras. Mr. Thomas Kelley.
- 24 Ms. Chivonnie Gius. Ms. Roxy Pignanelli.
- 25 SPEAKER SAMARAS: Thank you for coming

1 down and hearing our comments.

As a former energy advocate for Pueblo and one of the first that was hired by city council to educate the community in energy conservation and renewables, I have this position as a citizen now.

This was back in 1980 that I served the city.

My vision for Pueblo's future; innovation, sustainability, and economic growth. And several of the topics that were mentioned previously will come up in my discussion here.

Good afternoon. I present a vision for Pueblo's future, one that embraces clean energy, economic innovation, and cultural expansion. Our city has a unique opportunity to position itself as a leader in sustainable industries creating new jobs, revitalizing infrastructure, and fostering a thriving community.

Green hydrogen electrical generation. I'm kind of a futurist, so I talk about hydrogen a lot. Pueblo is ready to lead in hydrogen energy production aligning with global clean energy advances such as Germany's Hydrogen Valley initiative and Europe's REFHYNE refinery integration.

By investing in hydrogen-powered industrial growth, our city will reduce reliance on

fossil fuels and create an economic hub for green energy development.

Strategic EVRAZ Steel acquisition and steel manufacturing. That is a big one. EVRAZ Steel currently serves as a major employer and industrial resource for Pueblo. However, with its ownership under foreign investors and currently under labor challenges, transitioning the facility into local hands would provide strategic control over steel production -- and given the tariffs coming in -- ensuring alignment with regional sustainable goals.

The facility's existing 240 megawatt photovoltaic solar assist presents an opportunity to integrate hydrogen-based processes mirroring Sweden's HYBRIT and Germany's SALCOS green steel innovations. Through green steel and carbon neutral concrete manufacturing, Pueblo will drive industrial modernizations and eco-conscious construction.

Education and research collaboration.

Through partnerships with CSUP's metallurgical engineering and solar hydrogen battery R&D, Pueblo can become a center for energy and materials science innovation. Increased collaboration fosters new workforce training programs, attracting talent and investment into our emerging green industries.

1 Community transportation initiatives.

2 Investing in Front Range Light Rail and Amtrak

3 station modernization enhances regional transit

4 connectivity while developing hydrogen fuel stations

5 set the stage for zero emission transportation.

6 Transportation modules, Europe modules such as

7 Germany again, hydrogen transport systems demonstrate

8 how sustainable transit reshapes economies.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The revitalization of residential and commercial development strengthens Pueblo's infrastructure ensuring that our urban expansion reflects green energy planning and economic sustainability.

Pueblo's commitment to composting, nematode cultivation, and hydrogen-based ammonia production follows the success of Norway's carbon capture cement initiatives and Denmark's Amager Bakke waste-to-energy plants. By implementing carbon capture concrete manufacturing, we reduce emissions and drive industrial efficiency contributing to a circular economy.

All the points don't stick to Xcel, but they include their -- they are part of the bigger picture, and that is necessary.

Cultural expansion and tourism growth.

Major events like Sunshine Banks of the Arkansas'

50th anniversary -- and people don't know what that

is. Sunshine Banks of the Arkansas is a theme song

for Pueblo. Probably very few people know. It's

been around for 50 years, also. CSUP cycling expo

position -- let me begin that paragraph again,

please.

Major events like Sunshine Banks of the Arkansas' 50th anniversary, dragster competitions, and CSU cycling expo positions Pueblo as a vibrant cultural tourism destination. European cities have leveraged landmark anniversaries and large-scale festivals to generate economic activity. Pueblo can do the same with strategic community engagement.

My conclusion is: This plan transforms

Pueblo into a leader in sustainable industry, clean
energy innovation, and community-driven development.

By embracing smart economic strategies, green
technology, and local industry partnerships, we shape
a thriving future. I invite the city and the county
to support these initiatives to help build the next
chapter for Pueblo.

Thank you.

24 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.

25 SPEAKER KELLEY: Good afternoon. My name

- 1 is Thomas Kelley. I've been a resident of Pueblo for
- over 30 years, and I've raised three children here.
- 3 I currently have the privilege of being a business
- 4 manager for IBEW Local 12. We represent 300
- 5 electrical workers who are inside wiremen and
- 6 linemen.
- 7 With the proposed closure of Comanche Unit
- 8 3 by 2031, you need to consider the loss of
- 9 high-paying jobs with good benefits, the annual loss
- of a projected \$30 million in tax revenue, and the
- 11 annual loss of a projected \$200 million in economic
- 12 impact.
- 13 The PUC must support a bidding process
- that will allow Xcel flexibility to meet power
- 15 commitments by exploring all options including
- 16 natural gas with carbon capture and advanced nuclear.
- 17 We need to support economic development in
- 18 Pueblo and Pueblo County, not stifle it. We also
- 19 need to encourage the use of union labor which builds
- 20 good-paying jobs and good apprenticeships that
- 21 develop lifelong careers.
- Thank you for allowing me to comment.
- CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- Ms. Chivonnie Gius, Ms. Roxy Pignanelli
- 25 and Eric Frankowski and Jim Neblick.

- 1 SPEAKER GIUS: Good afternoon. My name is
- 2 Chivonnie Gius. Very close. Thank you for being
- 3 here, Commissioners.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BLANK: I'm trying.
- 5 SPEAKER GIUS: You did wonderfully, thank
- 6 you.
- 7 So I am Chivonnie Gius, an environmental
- 8 and health advocate, third generation Bessemer born.
- 9 My mother was raised on Spruce and recalls some of
- 10 her earliest memories are of the freshly laundered
- 11 white sheets hanging out to dry. Ten, fifteen
- 12 minutes later are now red with the dust and the
- 13 waste.
- 14 My grandmother, my grandfather, and my
- 15 uncle have all lost their battle to COPD. Nearly all
- of our families suffer with respiratory challenges to
- 17 this day, as you can kind of hear my labored
- 18 breathing. Thank you for your patience.
- 19 I am an ambassador for the implementation
- of a Renewable Energy Park for a clean energy future.
- 21 I stand with many when saying the most responsible
- option for replacing Comanche is a Renewable Energy
- 23 Park supporting industrial decarbonization with clean
- 24 hydrogen and thermal batteries.
- We have a great responsibility. We have a

- great opportunity to continue leading the nation in best practices to reduce and eliminate -- eventually eliminate emissions not only from Comanche, but from other -- excuse me, not only from Comanche, but also
- 5 from other --
- 6 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Take your time.
- SPEAKER GIUS: Thank you. It's so close to home. And I was called upon to speak and give my testimony in the last moments before coming today.
- 10 So thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- All of that being said, yes, we do have
  this great opportunity, right? Let us continue to
  lead our world with these best practices, right? So
  Pueblo, as we know, is an incredibly special place, a
  space of resilience and strength of spirit like
  steel. We have diverse and unique cultural roots and
  courageous communities.
  - And did you know that the majority of Puebloans ethnically identify as nonwhite or multiethnic? We know that nuclear waste is often the problem of the poor, the disenfranchised, and communities of color. This is environmental justice at its core if we are not taking a real look at that.
  - So Commissioners, I invite you, I invite all of you who are with me today, take a moment. If

- 1 you feel comfortable, close your eyes. Or just
- 2 simply take a deep breath and hear these words. I.
- 3 Can't. Breathe.
- 4 We all know that call for justice far too
- 5 well. Clean energy is not only our birthright, it is
- 6 our great responsibility that we hold as stewards of
- 7 this planet. I urge you to keep center of mind the
- 8 quality of air that our next seven generations are
- 9 going to inherent. Their cry? May it be: I. Can.
- 10 Breathe.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 13 SPEAKER PIGNANELLI: Hi. I'm Roxy
- 14 Pignanelli. And thank you for being here. And thank
- 15 you to this beautiful community for this turnout.
- 16 This hall is huge. There is actually a lot of people
- 17 here. It never reads that way because of the size of
- 18 the hall.
- I am a Puebloan. I left Pueblo for many,
- 20 many years. Lived all over the continent. Came back
- 21 several years ago. And I'm an advocate for children,
- for mental health, arts, education, a former
- educator, and a former labor union leader. I was
- the -- thank you.
- We are a union town. I ran the -- proudly

ran the union for District 70, which is one of two
wall-to-wall unions in the state and probably very
few in the country where all of the people in the
district, teachers throughout, kitchen workers,
everyone, is in one union.

I support jobs, and I support unions that provide working families good pay and dignity in the workplace. Some in the labor movement and some who feel this disposition about nuclear that we don't support the jobs if we don't support nuclear. That is not true.

Jobs would come in 20 years long after Comanche closes, and if they come at all, if we look at it from that C-3 perspective. If we want to create jobs immediately, then we need to support the Renewable Energy Park.

The energy park can build incrementally now that would provide those jobs now in the succession and progress of the Just Transition. The energy park would be repurposing the current existing Comanche plant. The existing turbines are slated to be used to create energy with thermal batteries that will be on-site.

When implemented, the energy park helps keep jobs at the existing Comanche plant while

- 1 powering it via clean energy. Also providing the
- 2 energy that Pueblo can begin to recruit and sustain
- 3 new industry that can provide even more jobs for our
- 4 people. Union jobs.
- 5 Finally, because so much of the technology
- 6 will be Xcel assets, they will be built with PLAs and
- 7 with union labor. And the PUC and Pueblo County
- 8 could mandate that everything built be built in the
- 9 energy park have those union agreements.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 12 Mr. Eric Frankowski, Mr. Jim Neblick,
- 13 Mr. Dave DeCenzo, Mr. Michael Wenzl.
- 14 SPEAKER FRANKOWSKI: Thank you. My name
- is Eric Frankowski. I'm the executive director of a
- 16 group called the Western Clean Energy Campaign. I'm
- 17 not a Pueblo resident. And as of last December, I am
- 18 no longer an Xcel customer.
- 19 I am, however, as part of my organization,
- 20 been deeply involved in energy issues in Colorado for
- 21 the past two decades. And this proceeding may be the
- 22 most important one you guys oversee during your
- tenures on the PUC.
- I'm grateful to the Commission for hosting
- 25 today's public comment hearing, and especially for

scheduling three more of these. In particular, I
would like to thank you for going the extra mile and
scheduling a hearing on June 5th.

Despite the opposition of civic leaders in Pueblo who are intervening in this case, it is critically important for the public to be able to make comments after they see what Xcel's final rebuttal testimony is going to present.

I want to make three main points with my time today. Point number one: Xcel is projecting a huge amount of new demand for power. And the majority of that is due to load growth in data centers. Those projections are completely speculative at this point. And we have seen how easily the industry can be disrupted with the recent announcement of DeepSeek.

The Commission must take an extremely conservative approach to evaluating Xcel's plans so that customers aren't stuck paying billions of dollars for a huge build-out of new generation that may never be needed.

Furthermore, assuming the data center build-out does turn out to be real, then let the data centers pay proportionately for the full cost of new generation and transmission that they need.

Point number two: Much has been made by
the folks on PIESAC about the need for an SMR reactor
or advanced nuclear plant as being the only option
for replacing jobs and property tax revenue in
Pueblo. That is incredibly myopic.

And I'm sure, as you've already heard and will hear from more folks today, the concept of an energy park as proposed by the smart people at Energy Innovation has all and more of the benefits of a nuclear plant and none of the downsides.

A Renewable Energy Park is dynamic. It could be built in phases including starting now, not waiting 15 years or more. It would be far less expensive to build, far better for customers' bills. It would take advantage of Colorado's vast renewable resources.

It's collaborative with other industries that could be co-located on the plant site. It would create 300 jobs and bring in \$40 million in annual property tax revenue. And the best part is there is no pollution or radioactive contamination.

If you look at all these kind folks behind me in orange, these people and many more in Pueblo -- they deserve good, family-supporting jobs. They may never get it with a nuclear power plant. They will

- get it with an energy park. And I know lots of

  people in an organization that would be happy to sit

  down with labor and start to hash out this concept
- 4 even more.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- My last point: Xcel has asked the
  Commission to authorize what amounts to two slush
  funds totalling \$600 million; one for the company to
  throw things again the wall to see what sticks, and
  the other down payments on equipment that may never
  be needed.
- 11 Xcel claims it needs new tools for
  12 planning around decarbonization, load growth,
  13 reliability, and Just Transition. That's just not
  14 accurate. What they are proposing is simply to shift
  15 the cost for risky business decisions from
  16 shareholders to customers. The truth is, they have
  17 all the tools they need.
  - Like any businesses, there are risks that should be assumed by the company before costs are passed onto customers. What they are asking for, \$600 million from customers now upfront, is way outside the bounds of what should be considered by the Commission. And those proposals should be rejected outright.
- 25 Thank you for your time today. I really

- 1 appreciate you coming to Pueblo.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 3 Mr. Jim Neblick. Mr. Dave DeCenzo.
- 4 Mr. Michael Wenzl. Mr. Ean Tafoya.
- 5 MR. TAFOYA: Hello. My name is Ean Thomas
- 6 Tafoya, and I'm down here from Denver. And I'm here
- 7 today to agree with a lot of what I've heard about
- 8 what the future of Pueblo is and a Renewable Energy
- 9 Park and the opportunity for jobs.
- 10 I come from a family in PWA. I believe
- 11 you can have great union jobs that also protect the
- 12 community. But really, there are a --
- 13 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Get closer to the mic.
- 14 SPEAKER TAFOYA: There are really a couple
- other pieces that I think are really important to me
- and why I came here from Denver to personally speak
- 17 today. And that's there is a part of this Just
- 18 Transition case that I haven't heard a lot of people
- 19 talk about that would extend the life of Cherokee gas
- 20 plant which I can see from my mother's front door.
- I think a lot of people know I went on to
- 22 be the chair of the Colorado Environmental Justice
- 23 Task Force because I grew up on a Superfund site. I
- 24 lived next to one with 189 businesses violating
- 25 federal environmental law. There is Title V air

permits including the Cherokee gas plant that arebelching in my community.

And when I moved there as a kid, my mom was a social worker who bought her first home in her 30s as a single mother on a Superfund site next to 189 businesses violating law. This redline of affordable housing is real here in Pueblo. It's real here in my community and across Colorado. It's communities like mine that are next to these plants that are experiencing high asthma rates among so many other things.

So I am here to ask to not allow that, to not allow them to continue. It was bad enough it went from a coal plant to a gas plant. My mother lives next to Suncor, which has had more than a thousand violations plus on top of it highway expansions. It is just too much cumulative impact. And so I would ask you to not allow that.

Another piece I think is really important that I want to talk about -- I know that it's been handled in some of these other PUC regulatory cases, but I want to talk about the actual deconstruction and remediation of this plant and what that means for the community.

Why my mom's house was a Superfund site is

- because they chose to blow up the ASARCO tower, and
  all it did was spread heavy metals and dust over
  miles and miles and miles. And when they came to
  widen I-70, after the EPA 1990 cleanup, they only did
- 5 residential properties. They didn't do the
- 6 commercial properties.

So the dirt that our children play in, the food that we love to grow to have our own food security, is not possible because of the heavy metals that are in the soil.

And so what I really want to talk about is as we are talking about the transition, we cannot forget -- we cannot forget about what it really means to deconstruct and protect the communities because we already know where the air toxics travel. That's where the dust will travel. And these are jobs to deconstruct it safely.

There is coal ash that needs to be cleaned up. What does this mean for the Arkansas Valley? What does this mean for people all the way into Kansas if we don't clean this stuff up properly? These are also jobs. These are jobs for communities that we need to make sure these people are protected and safe.

So again, I know people didn't hear it in

- the beginning. I want to echo the importance to

  protect the tax base. Personally, I feel like Xcel

  is paying out a huge amount of money to their profit

  shareholders instead of helping the Pueblo County fix
- 5 their tax base for the next 40 years. They should be
- 6 responsible for this. They built the lemon. But we
- 7 need to make sure that we are protecting these
- 8 communities.
- And again, I just implore you, please do
  not let east Pueblo become what north Denver was, a
  massive Superfund site, because we didn't think these
  things through.
- Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Jim Neblick, Dave
- 15 DeCenzo, Michael Wenzl, Dawn Tripp-Sena.
- 16 SPEAKER DECENZO: My name is Dave DeCenzo,
- 17 and I'm a relatively newcomer to Pueblo. I only got
- here in 2001. So that's pretty new.
- 19 But I tell you what, I have two sons here.
- 20 And they grew up, and they left town. And they left
- town because there is not enough high-paying jobs,
- 22 enough development here to keep them here. So I
- think that Pueblo has a demographic problem. And if
- we want to keep our children here, we have to have
- 25 high-paying jobs.

The way we do that is with power and electric power for data centers. So my feeling is that Comanche 3 should not be decommissioned or demolished. It is set up to last until 2070. It's supposedly, from what I hear, the third best environmental-wise coal plant in the nation out of 200. So let's not make it be one of the first to be decommissioned.

President Trump has issued an executive order -- I don't know if there is any money involved, but he has at least issued a direct support for coal-powered plants in the last week or so. And data centers are an example of why he did that.

And we could have data centers here in Pueblo, but we need the power. We don't want to be shipping it up north. We want to keep it here if we can. So if Xcel decides they want to take that power plant out to meet some state mandates on carbon dioxide emissions, we need to be able to purchase it from -- in Pueblo. I'm not sure who would do it, but perhaps PEDCO has enough money to put a down payment on it so that we can, you know, support it here.

So let's see what else I missed. Oh, and I read this report on renewable energy that people have talked about, and that should be entered into

1 the record if it hasn't been already.

So I don't believe Colorado, not just

Pueblo, should be decommissioning a working power

system, especially Comanche 3. We need power. Xcel

should focus on additional power before it

decommissions Comanche 3.

In other words, let's make sure we have got the power, then talk about decommissioning 3 after the additional work has been done. Whether they are sited in Pueblo, I don't know.

But I would say that if Comanche 3 can run for a week in each of the four seasons without dispatching power, then that would mean that the other resources that are in the state or in Xcel's area are functioning.

This report, Renewable Energy Parks: An Economic Development Strategy for Pueblo, is kind of pie-in-the-sky, if you ask me. I don't think it is going to come in the timeframes of January 1st, 2031, just to meet the projected decommissioning date.

So taking Comanche 3 out of Xcel's fleet to meet statewide CO2 emissions mandates is not consistent with current projections for AI. Elon Musk just built a large power-consuming data center in Memphis. And what he had to do is bring in gas

- 1 lines and gas power stations.
- And we have already got the power
- 3 production here. So if we want to actually get
- 4 economic development so that we can keep our kids
- 5 here, we need to keep that power plant, in my
- 6 opinion.
- 7 Wyoming is getting a new data center. And
- 8 they can do it. And they are going to be, I think,
- 9 burning gas up there just over the border out of
- 10 Colorado. They are not subject.
- 11 So the last thing, I would like to see the
- 12 PUC push our legislature to either move the dates for
- 13 these mandates or reduce the mandates or eliminate
- 14 them entirely because we need economic development
- here in Pueblo. And we are going to get it from
- 16 power.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 19 Mr. Jim Neblick, Mr. Michael Wenzl,
- 20 Ms. Dawn Tripp-Sena, Ms. Salina Cummings,
- 21 Ms. Elizabeth Weston, Ms. Kathy Sahagian. Please
- feel free to step up.
- 23 SPEAKER WENZL: Mr. Neblick has left the
- 24 meeting.
- 25 So I'm Michael Wenzl. I've been a

resident of Pueblo for the last 30 years. And I
wanted to mention some of the things that aren't
working with nuclear power here to begin with. And
that's from a clean air perspective, any nuclear
radiation leak -- thank you.

Any nuclear radiation leak would -- from any nuclear power facility would cause evacuation of this community and possibly beyond for how long before people could come home to clean homes.

Another is there's been earthquakes reported as close as Walsenburg. That is within 50 miles of Pueblo. So if that can happen there, it can happen to Pueblo, too. I acknowledge there's been no earthquakes in Pueblo, but, you know, we are talking how many years down the road if there was a nuclear facility.

So that could -- what earthquakes could cause is, again, with the small nuclear reactors, there is water that is involved that would be contaminated that helps keep the reactors cool. And you add cooling water for spent energy.

And if there is some sort of disaster, something that could crack those containers, then you are talking a groundwater situation that would contaminate the aquifers. And now where are we going

- 1 to get the water that we are currently getting from
- 2 aquifers? These are considerations we want to
- 3 consider because that could happen.
- 4 Also, I want to follow up on
- 5 Mr. Obduskey's comment about insurance. I spoke with
- 6 my insurance agent here last year about what his
- 7 company would do in a case of a nuclear disaster from
- 8 a nuclear power facility. He said currently, they
- 9 would not cover. There is no coverage at all for any
- 10 type of nuclear radiation leak or disaster right now
- 11 from the nuclear power facility.
- 12 So someone else also mentioned the current
- 13 proposals. Right now, it is like there is time and
- 14 cost overruns. The cost, everyone knows, is in terms
- of billions of dollars. Where does this money come
- from? Must be tax money. We are talking billions of
- 17 dollars.
- 18 And the cost overruns and the time
- involved that we are talking about here, you know, to
- cover the tax benefits that the community needs,
- 21 that's just not -- I don't foresee that happening
- with a nuclear power plant.
- So, in conclusion, I want to ask that you,
- this Commission -- that you vote no for the proposal
- to build a nuclear power plant not only in Pueblo,

- 1 but in the state of Colorado.
- 2 And also, I want to ask that you do
- 3 propose -- support a Pueblo Renewable Energy Park. I
- 4 know there may not be much information about it, but
- 5 it is a wonderful replacement for anything that has
- 6 come up to this point that would help labor and all
- 7 involved and cover the tax revenue.
- 8 That's all I have. Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 10 Ms. Dawn Tripp-Sena, Ms. Salina Cummings,
- 11 Ms. Elizabeth Weston, Ms. Kathy Sahagian, Mr. Jose
- 12 Soto. You don't have to come up in order.
- 13 SPEAKER TRIPP-SENA: Good evening. My
- 14 name is Dawn Tripp-Sena. And I am a social worker.
- 15 I'm an adjunct professor here at this University. I
- 16 teach social work. Social work is a profession that
- is known to fight the injustices that are often
- 18 perpetrated against marginalized people.
- 19 I was shocked when they started having the
- town halls about this issue that the energy from
- 21 Comanche 3 didn't even power Pueblo. So a
- 22 marginalized community is getting all the pollution
- but none of the benefit. I'm tired of Pueblo being a
- dumping ground for northern Colorado.
- 25 My children and grandchildren live here in

- 1 Pueblo, and you can see Comanche 3 from their front
- 2 yards. So they are very close to this area, and they
- 3 receive more of the pollution than other parts of
- 4 Pueblo. My younger son has asthma, and it's due to
- 5 being -- the air in Pueblo.
- I don't want my grandchildren or my
- 7 children to live out the rest of their lives in a
- 8 place that has dirty air. I want to it be clean. I
- 9 support the Renewable Energy Park. And I hope that
- 10 you will, too. If it was in your backyards, what
- 11 would your decision be?
- Thank you for coming.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 14 Mr. Jose Soto, Eppie Griego.
- 15 SPEAKER CUMMINGS: Good evening. My name
- is Salina Cummings. And I stand before you today as
- 17 a concerned resident and mother of Pueblo.
- 18 While I understand the desire for clean
- 19 energy and economic development, I urge you to
- 20 reconsider the proposal to bring a nuclear power
- 21 plant to our community.
- 22 Supporters often highlight that nuclear
- energy produces fewer carbon emissions and creates
- jobs, but at what cost to our families, our safety,
- and our future? A nuclear power plant may be low in

emissions, but it produces high radioactive waste that remains dangerous for thousands of years.

The US still has no permanent solution for this waste. There was a study in 2023 that over 90,000 metric tons of nuclear waste is being stored at over 70 sites across the country, most of this temporarily near rivers, cities, and neighborhoods just like ours.

In 2014, there was a leak at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico which released radiation exposing workers and costing over \$2 billion in clean-up. Closer to home, what would a similar incident mean for our families?

They say it's safe. However, there has been studies published in the *European Journal of Cancer Care* that children living near nuclear plants had a higher risk of leukemia.

Yes, nuclear plants bring jobs, if any, for locals. However, so does renewable energy projects like solar and wind which are already growing across Colorado. These options are cleaner, safer, and don't burden future generations with radioactive waste.

Why gamble with Pueblo's safety? Let's lead with smarter, safer energy without putting our

- 1 families at risk. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 3 SPEAKER WESTON: My name is Elizabeth
- 4 Weston. And I am standing here not as a politician,
- 5 not as a scientist, but as a member of this
- 6 community, somebody who loves Pueblo and who wants
- 7 the best for our families, our kids, their kids, and
- 8 our future.
- 9 We have all heard the pitch, nuclear power
- 10 plant coming to Pueblo. And on paper, yeah, it
- 11 sounds promising. Clean energy, good-paying jobs, a
- 12 step forward. But I'm here to say that those
- promises are not worth the risks. Not for us, not
- for our children, and not for the city that we have
- 15 all worked so hard to build.
- Talk about the risk. Nuclear energy isn't
- 17 something that you can afford to get wrong. One
- 18 accident, just one, can poison our air, our soil, and
- 19 our water. And it doesn't just go away. Radioactive
- waste lasts for tens of thousands of years.
- 21 If something goes wrong, we are not just
- 22 talking about a temporary disaster. We are talking
- about entire generations living with the consequences
- of our choice today. I would like to think about our
- children, the little ones that are playing outside in

the backyards, walking to school, drinking water fromthe taps, drinking water from the hoses.

Imagine looking at them in the eye and knowing that we signed off on something that could put their health, their future, and their lives in danger all for a paycheck or a promise of economic growth that might not even last.

And where would the nuclear waste go?

Because no one seems to have a clear answer. Is it going to be stored here, right in our backyards?

Near our homes? Near the Arkansas River? What happens if there is a leak, if our water gets contaminated?

We already deal with high utility bills, rising grocery costs, and inflation. Are we really prepared to deal with a radioactive clean-up, cancer risks, and evacuations, too? Because I am a member of the working class. I would not be able to evacuate. My family would have to stay. We can't afford to go to another city.

I'm not ignoring the benefits. We all want clean energy. We all want jobs. We all want Pueblo to move forward. Let's be real, Pueblo has -- already has what it takes to lead in renewable energy.

- 1 Again, wind, solar, even battery storage.
- 2 These are industries that grow with the community,
- 3 not at the cost of the community. They create jobs,
- 4 good ones, and they don't come with nuclear warning
- 5 labels.
- This city is built on working-class pride.
- 7 We built steel, railroads, farms. And we have done
- 8 it with our hands, our sweat, and our hearts. We
- 9 have sacrificed enough. We should not have to
- 10 sacrifice our safety now just to get ahead. And
- 11 again, it goes to economic classism. We are a poor
- 12 community.
- And I am asking you guys, the
- decision-makers, to not allow this because once that
- 15 reactor is here, once the waste is buried, and not if
- but when something goes wrong, we don't get a
- 17 do-over.
- 18 I have heard the argument that nuclear
- 19 energy has come a long way since Chernobyl; that
- 20 modern technology is safer; that the risks are low.
- 21 And yes, it's true that safety measures have
- improved, but that does not mean the danger is gone.
- 23 It just means we are better at containing it until we
- 24 are not.
- 25 Take Fukushima, the disaster that happened

- 1 in 2011 in Japan. Japan is very technologically
- 2 advanced, and they couldn't even prevent a failure
- 3 when disaster struck. Over 1.25 million tons of
- 4 radioactive water is still being stored at that site.
- 5 And now it is being slowly released into the ocean
- 6 sparking protests across the globe.
- 7 Even in the US, we have had close calls.
- 8 The San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station in
- 9 California. That was shut down permanently in 2013
- 10 due to safety issues and radiation leaks. And now
- 11 3.6 million pounds of radioactive waste is sitting
- 12 there in the Pacific Ocean. No permanent storage
- 13 solution.
- And about that it-is-safe-now claim, the
- Nuclear Regulatory Commission in 2021 found that 88
- of the 93 US reactors were still not in full
- 17 compliance with the updated safety standards
- following Fukushima. So no, this isn't behind us.
- 19 We are still catching up.
- 20 Then there is the waste. I know Salina
- 21 mentioned that in the US there is 90,000 metric tons
- of nuclear waste and not a singular permanent storage
- 23 facility. Waste from a plant can remain radioactive
- for up to 250,000 years, longer than any civilization
- 25 has ever even existed. Right now, nearly all of it

- 1 is sitting in temporary sites including near rivers,
- 2 fault lines, and communities.
- And yes, some say this will help our tax
- 4 base. But at what cost? Nuclear projects are some
- of the most expensive infrastructure investments out
- 6 there. A local plant in Georgia, the newest project
- 7 in the US, ran \$17 billion over budget and took over
- 8 a decade to finish. That is taxpayer money. And
- 9 those bills land on the backs of working families
- 10 like ours.
- 11 We deserve better. Pueblo deserves
- 12 better. Safer energy, smarter investments, and a
- 13 future that does not leave our children, their
- 14 children, and their great grandchildren paying for
- the prices of the promises we make today.
- 16 Let's not gamble with our kids' future.
- 17 Let's invest it in energy that builds us up. Say yes
- to a Pueblo that is safe, strong, and proud. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 21 SPEAKER GRIEGO: Thank you for coming to
- 22 Pueblo, Chairman Blank, Commissioners Gilman and
- 23 Plant.
- 24 My name is Eppie Griego. I've lived in
- 25 Pueblo for 66 years. I helped build and do all the

- work out there at the Comanche plant. I built the substation, did all the transmission lines out there.
- 3 Solar and wind is not going to cut it.
- 4 You know what I mean? Now, it will cut it if we give
- 5 all the jobs to Pueblo and they go union and good
- 6 PLAs and good-paying jobs. Other than that, I've
- 7 been out in the parking lot, and all these people are
- 8 not from our community. But I am someone who cares
- 9 about my community, my neighbors, and our future.
- 10 We all know Comanche Unit 3 is closing by
- 11 2031. That is 750 megawatts of power and a major
- 12 part of our local community. It supports good-paying
- 13 jobs, over \$30 million in taxes every year, and
- 14 countless small business and nonprofits. A Just
- 15 Transition means don't lose all of that. We need to
- 16 keep those jobs here in Pueblo.
- 17 We need energy infrastructure that
- 18 replaces what is going away. Not just in power, but
- in people, paychecks, and purpose. That means giving
- 20 Xcel the flexibility to bring in technologies that
- 21 are ready now like natural gas and carbon capture and
- the options that are coming fast like advanced
- 23 nuclear. Don't close the door on those. We need
- every tool available.
- 25 So I'm here to ask, support real

- 1 investment in Pueblo, keep the jobs, keep the tax
- 2 base, and give us a future. Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 4 Jose Soto, Mr. Ken Danti, Ms. Dianne
- 5 Danti, Mr. Jason Munoz, Ms. Susie Jagd.
- 6 SPEAKER SAHAGIAN: I'm Kathy Sahagian.
- 7 And I moved here in 2015 by the skin of my teeth. I
- 8 found a town where housing was affordable. I was
- 9 living in Austin. I sold the mobile home I bought
- 10 and came here and bought a house with that money.
- 11 And if I had to sell it and move, it's
- 12 just too hard. I'm sick. You know, it's too hard.
- 13 It takes years for someone in my income to move. I'm
- 14 on disability. I've got eosinophilic asthma. I've
- 15 got fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue.
- 16 You know, I just -- I can't do it. But if
- 17 it comes, I'll do it. I'll go live in my sister's
- 18 attic, you know? I'm not going to die from cancer.
- 19 I'm 62, but I'm not ready to die yet, you know?
- 20 We have -- they call this town the banana
- 21 belt because the -- I mean, you know, through -- a
- Colorado city through here, they call that the banana
- belt because we have 300 days of the year of sun.
- 24 And the wind is just nuts. I mean, when I
- was sitting out in my car in the parking lot, my car

1 was rocking out there today. And they are making

2 windmills that don't even have to have the big

3 propellers. And they just -- you know.

And why not put the solar panels on
people's houses? I'm on LEAP and BHEAP. And I don't
trust the mayor here to give me any assistance at
all. She wants it in her control so she can make
money off of it.

I just put it together. Somebody said, oh, yeah, we got to produce all this energy for the tech brokers up north for their electric cars and their AI, et cetera. And if you put a solar panel on every roof in this town who would take it -- not forcing anybody, but everyone who wanted one, we would have enough energy.

And that would create jobs. And they would take maintenance. You would have to maintain them. Somebody would have to go and maintain them and make sure that they are still up and working and replace them if they are not.

And that park I just heard about, you know. I mean, I'm old enough to remember Love Canal. Okay? And I remember Chernobyl and Fukushima. And it just spewed and spewed and spewed and spewed. Two years later, they are still spewing. They are still

- 1 spewing, you know.
- 2 And there is all these die-offs of fish.
- 3 And suddenly in California, they don't see any babies
- 4 with the whales. How come? Oh, scientists are
- 5 looking into it. How come? What do you think?
- 6 Major die-offs of starfish, you know. We have no
- 7 right.
- 8 And then if we have an accident here, what
- 9 is it going to do, pollute the Arkansas River? You
- 10 know? You got to store the shit. That's the
- 11 problem. It might not, you know, release carbon, but
- 12 it's the stuff, you know. Where are you going to
- 13 store it?
- 14 And, you know, containers corrode. And
- things leak into the ground. I have a beautiful
- 16 garden here. I keep adding compost to it every year,
- 17 every year, every year. And I rely on that food to
- 18 survive.
- 19 I live on \$930 a month. Take that in for
- 20 a second. Can you imagine it? Can you imagine
- 21 yourself living on \$930 a month? I can't afford to
- 22 move. You know? And I need the help I get from
- 23 Black Hills and Xcel.
- Let them build solar and wind. We have
- 25 plenty of it. If it works anywhere, it should work

- 1 here. They can make it happen if they want to make
- 2 it happen. I just think somebody is not going to
- 3 make a large amount of money on it. That's why they
- 4 want to bring it in. They want to make a lot of
- 5 money. You know?
- I don't want to sell back any of my energy
- 7 or anything like that. Just, you know, put it on my
- 8 roof and let me survive. I don't have a lot of, you
- 9 know, needs, you know, as far as energy goes. Do you
- 10 really think they should do this? I don't think
- 11 they --
- 12 CHAIRMAN BLANK: We can't answer.
- 13 SPEAKER SAHAGIAN: You can't answer that.
- 14 Wow.
- 15 I used to write for a newspaper in
- 16 Milwaukee called the Shepherd Express. It's a
- 17 wonderful town. I really miss it. I miss my
- friends, you know. But I can't live there because of
- 19 my asthma and my allergies. There is too much clay
- in the soil, too much mold.
- 21 Here, I test, I'm only allergic to cats
- 22 and dogs. In Austin, I was allergic to trees, grass,
- 23 weeds. They have high pollinating trees, you know.
- 24 So I had to move. You know, I had to leave. It took
- 25 me -- I was there 15 years. I suffered for 15 years.

And I couldn't keep a job. I worked sick selling my jewelry on the weekends. And I got sinus infections. And, you know, it took me five days to recover and then go back and do it again before I finally got on SSI. Because I just didn't want

people in my life like that, you know? But I had to.

So now, if I have to move, it's going to take me years. Please let me know ahead of time if you are going to do this so I have time to sell my house before all the property values go down.

Because that's what I think will happen.

Who wants to move to a town with a nuclear reactor? Nobody is going to move here. Right now, we have influx of people all the time. It's a wonderful town. We get people from all over the place. It's great.

I like it here. I don't want to leave.

But I will. I'll sell my damn house. I won't be able to afford to buy another one for the price I'll get for it. I won't be able to afford to buy a house in Milwaukee. But, you know, I have to.

I can't breathe that shit. I don't want to end up with cancer. We had -- my mom, my grandma, and my great grandma all had breast cancer. That's all I need. And it wasn't genetic. I was tested.

- 1 It was nongenetic. It was environmental. You know?
- 2 So every year -- this is like -- this is
- 3 like when you get food, and there is something in it
- 4 that's not good for you like high fructose corn
- 5 syrup. The company will get rid of it real quick.
- 6 And then they will put another thing in there that
- 7 sucks really bad, you know. Let's take that out, and
- 8 we'll put in, I don't know, Aspartame or whatever
- 9 that's even worse. People getting diabetes.
- 10 People -- you know. More autism, you know. What the
- 11 heck? Come on.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 13 SPEAKER SAHAGIAN: Don't do this to us,
- 14 okay?
- 15 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 16 SPEAKER SOTO: Hello. Welcome to Pueblo.
- 17 Good afternoon, Chairman Blank and Commissioners. My
- name is Jose Soto. I am a construction craft laborer
- 19 and a resident of Pueblo.
- I am here today representing Laborers
- 21 Local 720. We represent hundreds of workers
- throughout the state employed on utility construction
- 23 projects. LIUNA acknowledges and appreciates Xcel
- 24 Energy's commitment to Project Labor Agreements on
- 25 its construction projects as detailed in Exhibit A,

Scope of Work for Solar, Wind, and Battery Energy
 Storage Projects.

This demonstrates a recognition of the value of skilled labor in assuring the timely and cost-effective completion of critical infrastructure projects.

However, we firmly believe that this commitment to high quality labor standards must extend beyond construction and apply equally to power purchase agreements. Xcel's own subsidiary in Minnesota requires union labor on its power purchase agreements setting a strong precedent that should be followed here in Colorado.

The state of Colorado, through legislation such as Senate Bill 23-292, has clearly demonstrated its support for the creation of high quality jobs for Colorado residents. Applying a union labor requirement to power purchase agreements is the most direct and effective way to meet this important policy priority. It ensures that renewable energy projects developed in our state provide family-supporting wages and benefits to local workers contributing to a stronger Colorado economy.

This proceeding presents a historic opportunity for Colorado. Xcel Energy's Just

Transition Solicitation calls for the acquisition of nearly 14 gigawatt. That's 14,000 megawatts of new generation and storage resources to meet Colorado's future electricity needs. This massive build-out represents one of the largest energy transition plans in Colorado's history. And it is crucial that we establish the right labor standards from the onset.

In the past, we have witnessed a troubling trend where out-of-state workers are brought in to build Colorado's renewable energy infrastructure, often paid minimal wages, provided with few or no benefits.

This practice directly undercuts both the intent of best value employment metrics legislation and the progress made by workers' unions to establish and maintain high quality jobs for construction workers in the state.

Xcel proposes using a labor economist to score bids based on best value employment metrics providing individual projects and accumulative portfolio best value employment metrics scores.

While this proposal has the potential to provide useful insight, it does not go far enough, and its limitations are evident.

Despite the existence of best value

- 1 language for nearly a decade, Colorado has yet to see
- 2 any utility scale unionized renewable energy
- 3 projects. This clearly indicates that the past and
- 4 current best value employment metrics scoring methods
- 5 are inadequate to ensure that the projects
- 6 prioritizes and deliver good jobs.
- 7 Finally, we must not forget the workers
- 8 who will be impacted by the energy transition. As we
- 9 retire coal plants, it is our responsibility to
- 10 ensure that the new jobs created in the renewable
- 11 energy sector offer the same, if not better,
- 12 standards of employment.
- 13 Requiring union labor on both power
- 14 purchase agreements and south build projects is
- 15 essential for a Just Transition that provides high
- 16 quality family-supporting union jobs for Colorado
- 17 workers.
- 18 LIUNA urges the Commission to mandate that
- 19 Xcel Energy apply union labor standards to all power
- 20 purchase agreements mirroring their practice in
- 21 Minnesota and aligning with Colorado's policy goals.
- 22 This is not just about construction. It's about
- creating a sustainable and equitable energy future
- 24 for all Coloradoans.
- 25 Thank you for your time and appreciate

- 1 your consideration.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 3 SPEAKER SOTO: I could also enter this
- 4 into the record as well. Our Pueblo County Board of
- 5 County Commissioners adopted a resolution partially
- 6 supporting the labor -- our comments here this
- 7 morning as well. I will have that submitted as well.
- 8 So thank you, sir.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Please. Thank you.
- 10 Ms. Dianne Danti, Mr. Jason Munoz, Susie
- 11 Jagd.
- 12 SPEAKER DANTI: Hello. I'm Ken Danti. I
- grew up in Pueblo. But for my career, I went away
- 14 because of the jobs -- the limited jobs in Pueblo.
- These are not designed for somebody over
- 16 6 feet.
- 17 I grew up in Pueblo. I'm third
- generation, but I left for my career. But I'm back,
- 19 and I live in Pueblo.
- 20 I represent the Renewable Energy Owners
- 21 Coalition of America. We must address the climate
- issue by using renewable energy combined with
- storage. We support the Renewable Energy Park
- 24 proposal.
- 25 This approach will substantially maximize

good union jobs and property tax while improving our
citizens' health and environment. This approach
addresses all issues, unlike the others who seek only
the most expensive way to generate power.

An energy park looks for ways to help local economy by producing businesses -- or by providing businesses with lower energy costs, a specific concern for the Pueblo area which is burdened by high electric costs. A Renewable Energy Park can serve our needs with much less delay.

REOCA conducted a professional poll published in 2022 about renewables versus nuclear for a Comanche replacement. Pueblo residents prefer nuclear -- or I got that wrong. Pueblo residents prefer renewables over nuclear 65 percent to 34 percent. And I think we are even hearing a bigger difference about renewable in this auditorium.

Today, nuclear proponents don't want others to speak because they have a losing proposition of too expensive, too late. Their approach is to attack the person, not the facts.

Let's take the innovative approach of a Renewable Energy Park and make it happen for a truly Just Transition.

Thank you.

- 1 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 2 Ms. Jagd.
- 3 SPEAKER JAGD: Thanks. Hi, my name is
- 4 Susie Jagd. And thank you for coming down to hear
- 5 our comments.
- 6 You know, I worked in a solar factory
- 7 where we produced solar cells for NASA. And I worked
- 8 there when I was a very, very small little kid. And
- 9 I always dreamed that every house in America would
- 10 have solar. And because the sun is free, it doesn't
- 11 contaminate the air.
- 12 And so in 2010, I put solar on my house.
- 13 In 2020, I put better solar on my house. And so I
- 14 believe that we should stand here. And any new
- 15 construction should have renewable energy included or
- not be allowed to build.
- 17 I think that when people come in and say
- that they can build this house or that house, they
- 19 better have a renewable energy source included in
- that build because we need houses. We need people to
- 21 come and live. But we need to have a place to put
- them. And if we can't support the electrical, the
- water that requires that, then we are missing the
- 24 boat.
- 25 And here today -- when I went up to the

- 1 capital and talked to the guy -- one of the senators
- 2 that produced that bill that said we should include
- 3 nuclear power as a clean energy source, he was a
- 4 little bit misleading.
- 5 He talked about having nuclear energy that
- 6 would not have waste, and that is not quite true.
- 7 The nuclear power isotope that he was talking about
- 8 needs a nuclear -- needs like uranium to give a
- 9 kick-start. So there is waste.
- 10 And so I say that when I look at all the
- 11 corporations in the United States and around the
- 12 world that have spilled oil in our land and sea and
- said, oh, well, we will clean it up; or don't do
- 14 anything in regards to the environment -- one small
- 15 little leak in that little crack is going to
- 16 contaminate this whole area.
- 17 And I don't want nuclear power. I was
- 18 upset that they included it as clean energy because
- 19 it is clean energy in the sense that it has no carbon
- 20 emissions, but it's not clean energy in the sense
- 21 that once it spills, you are devastated. I don't
- 22 care. You have -- you can't take it back.
- 23 You have places -- you know what they told
- 24 me? He said we are going to have it here in
- 25 Colorado. He said we are going to ship it to New

- 1 Mexico. We are not going to have any waste. And
- 2 that is kind of a figment -- a little lie.
- And then he told me that they are going to
- 4 store it in the Carlsbad Caverns. Oh, great, so
- 5 let's destroy some natural beautiful place, you know,
- 6 because we can store it there.
- 7 And as far as I'm concerned about
- 8 corporations honoring their reliability of not
- 9 dumping here or there or anywhere, as we have seen in
- 10 the past, unless they get caught -- unless they get
- 11 caught, they are not going to do anything about it.
- So I say let's use solar. Let's use wind.
- 13 Let's develop the things that need to be developed.
- 14 Start today. Don't put it off. And just remember
- 15 that you can't take back nuclear energy once it's on
- the ground and spilled. It's there forever.
- 17 Like everybody else that says in this
- 18 room, you know, it's not the way to go. It's not the
- 19 future. And I want to leave Colorado for my
- 20 grandkids to enjoy, for my grandkids' children and
- 21 whoever else.
- I'm a nurse. I'm a retired nurse. And
- cancer is not a pretty picture. It really isn't.
- 24 And if you live near nuclear plants and you can get
- 25 more -- you know, the people that are making the

- 1 money are not the people that are living right next
- door to those plants and stuff. And their kids and
- 3 their grandkids and nobody else -- you know, they are
- 4 not living there. We are.
- 5 So I say no to nuclear just on the chance
- 6 that, you know, you can't trust anybody to sit there
- 7 and say, oh, yeah, we are going to maintain it.
- 8 Don't worry. Yeah. That's what they said, you know,
- 9 when they spilled the oil all over and destroyed our
- 10 seas and said oh, yeah, we are going to clean it up.
- 11 And then no, because we are a corporation.
- 12 Nobody sat there and cleaned up our lands
- and our seas. And we had oil all over. Well,
- 14 nuclear will last longer than oil. It will last
- 15 forever. We will die with nuclear waste poured on
- our cities, our land, and our air.
- 17 So that's all I have to say. Thanks.
- 18 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you, Ms. Jagd.
- 19 Dianne Danti, Ms. Sara Blackhurst,
- 20 Ms. Barbara Ripperger, Mr. Jimmy Duffner.
- 21 SPEAKER DANTI: Thank you for listening to
- the testimony.
- 23 My name is Dianne Danti. And I chair the
- 24 Bring Power Home Pueblo grassroots issue campaign.
- 25 It is a grassroots issue campaign to terminate Black

1 Hills' franchise agreement.

As I have spoken before, we are not a toxic dumping site. The Army Depot has just finished the last of the destruction of the mustard agent. Now they are doing clean-up, and there will be areas that will be unusable. We still have the EPA Superfund sites that are still being cleaned up. Even with Comanche 3 retiring, we will have the groundwater and Saint Charles River's coal ash to deal with.

We can look at having a Renewable Energy Park that has more renewable energy than just solar panels. It will have thermal batteries, hydrogen electrolyzers, and Intersect Power. It will cost the bill payers less and will not produce the radioactive waste that the SMR nuclear plant produces. And it will not generate greenhouse gases. The park will also use less of our valuable water.

Pueblo will be able to diversify its economy by keeping cheap energy in the community to power not only Denver, but also local industry. It will generate property tax payments that pays at \$40 million annually and creates hundreds of permanent jobs in engineering, business operation, and industrial plant operation.

1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you. 3 SPEAKER MUNOZ: Good evening, 4 Commissioners. My name is Jason Munoz. Thank you 5 for being here today to listen to our public 6 comments. 7 I come to you today as a fourth generation 8 Coloradoan, a native son of Pueblo, and a proud 9 father to two school-age children here in Pueblo. 10 And, you know, all this discussion of 11 nuclear power sort of brings back that imagery of The 12 Simpsons with their nuclear power plant and the 13 three-eyed fish. And, of course, that is fiction. 14 But we all know, how often has *The Simpsons* stories 15 come to real life? And I would not want any 16 three-eyed fish to be floating around in Pueblo. 17 You know, thinking of long-term 18 sustainability for Pueblo, we should be planning for 19 long-term sustainability here in Southern Colorado, 20 but God forbid an accident happened to this nuclear 21 power plant that is proposed that would essentially 22 put an end to our future here. 23 You know, the Colorado state motto is nil

sine numine. I hope I said that correctly. I'm not

brushed up on my Latin. But it means nothing without

24

25

providence. So I believe that we, as good

Coloradoans, owe it to be good stewards, too, in

anature that we are surrounded with here in Colorado.

And although I understand that this power plant -- which essentially wouldn't be prepared to go into operation for 20-some years from now. But should it come into existence, I think that although it must have updated technologies, the risk is far too great to subject any of our residents or nature to any type of potential leaks or accidents.

You know, as it relates to, you know, Just Transition, I absolutely believe that we need to work in every way possible to make sure that the workers who are providing this energy that we all need, that they do have a Just Transition into getting new good-paying jobs, labor jobs after we transition away from Xcel.

I just hope that it's not going to be a nuclear power plant. The risk of pollution is high. You know, God forbid an accident happened. I mentioned that. After the fact of the uranium has been spent, is it going to be stored in Pueblo? What will happen to it? As we heard tonight, there is really no storage facilities for it.

And I don't know if we can like do like a

Superman IV where we fling it back into the sun, but
I don't think that is really likely. So it is really
dangerous to have this spent uranium sitting in
Pueblo because for so long we have been abused by
corporations environmentally. And I think it is time

that that should come to an end.

I also think it is really important -- you know, we have the abundance of the Arkansas River.

We have a lot of water here in Pueblo. And I love to take my kids out on the lake and the river and enjoy all of that.

But, you know, if we are 20 years down the road -- climate change, I think, it is a real thing. And I am concerned that should we install a nuclear power plant or a big data center that it is going to suck up a lot of our water here that should be used for our own recreation, our own crops, our economy, and to be able to flow downstream. And, of course, these plants will use and taint a lot of our pristine Colorado water we have here in Pueblo.

And so I just really want to show my support for a renewable energy plant. You know, God gave us the sun and the wind. I would love for us to harness that to the best of our abilities. Let's increase the battery storage. Let's get all hands on

- 1 deck and come together as a community to find every
- 2 solution possible to provide our growing community
- 3 here with the power needs that have power for
- 4 generations to come.
- I just hope that you decide that nuclear
- 6 power is too dangerous for Colorado. Thank you so
- 7 much.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 9 SPEAKER BLACKHURST: Good evening,
- 10 Chairman Blank, Commissioners Gilman and Plant.
- 11 Thank you so much for coming to Pueblo to hear
- 12 directly from those who are most affected from a Just
- 13 Transition proceeding.
- 14 My name is Sara Espinoza Blackhurst. I'm
- the president of Action Colorado. On my other side,
- 16 my sons are the seventh generation living here in
- 17 Pueblo County. On my father's side, the border
- 18 crossed us twice in Southern Colorado. So I'm as
- invested as anybody that you could ever imagine in
- the future of Colorado because my past is so deeply
- 21 rooted here.
- I'm also representing a coalition of local
- 23 governments, labor, business, and community leaders
- in transition-impacted regions across the state. I
- 25 also served on the Pueblo Innovative Energy Solution

- 1 Advisory Committee better known as PIESAC.
- 2 So let me begin with this: Pueblo didn't
- 3 wait. We organized. We planned. We led. This Just
- 4 Transition proceeding is no small thing to us. We
- 5 understand very well it is the formal public process
- 6 meant to guide how Colorado moves away from coal in a
- fair and equitable way for impacted workers,
- 8 communities, and utilities.
- 9 It is where the rubber meets the road on
- 10 the state's promises how the transition will be
- 11 timed, how costs will be shared, how local economies
- 12 will be supported, and how reliability will be
- maintained.
- 14 It's important to us because we understand
- 15 it's the state's commitment to fairness and action.
- 16 It is about sequencing and integrity. And it's about
- 17 how we reshape outcomes.
- 18 The Just Transition Solicitation you are
- 19 considering today -- I would like to point to Exhibit
- 20 101 -- acknowledges that, as Jack Ihle's testimony
- 21 made clear, the PIESAC report shaped this plan. This
- is a win for collaborative, community-driven
- 23 policymaking.
- Well, the plan only matters if it is
- 25 implemented with integrity. We cannot allow a paper

promise of inclusion to replace the lived reality of follow-through. Replacement must deliver tax base and not displace labor.

The Commission has a chance to set precedent that is really, truly Just Transition. And this is being watched across the country, as you well know, because everyone in the country is trying to figure out the best way to transition away from coal communities that will be deeply impacted for the rest of time.

It's a commitment to the people who powered this state for generations. We support the JTS, but it only comes with enforceable mechanisms to make communities whole. It is really important. You are going to hear us talk about that a lot. How do we make these coal transition communities whole? And that's what the Just Transition is all about, how we make these communities whole.

That means real investment, not short-term payouts. A few weeks ago -- less than two weeks ago, actually, the Governor signed HB 1040 that designates nuclear as clean energy. This was on the tail of President Biden last summer signing the ADVANCE Act into law that acknowledges not only that it's clean, but paying the way for these technologies -- these

- new technologies. Not 40, 50, 60, 70-year-old technologies, but the new technologies to be put in
- 3 place.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Long-term energy infrastructure that
  anchors our economies includes advanced nuclear and
  advanced new natural gas with carbon capture
  facilities in Pueblo. This is ground zero for this
  transition.
- We need dispatchable, reliable, scaleable
  power to support both our local grid and our economic
  future. And ongoing community involvement is how
  this transition unfolds with labor, labor front and
  center in this transition. Not just today, but
  through every phase.
  - We don't want a seat at the table after decisions are already made. We want to build this table with you because you are the decision-makers on this. We urge you to approve the JTS with clear expectations for execution and the accountability to match because Pueblo has done its part. And now it's time to make good on the promise.
- Thank you for your time.
- CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. I want to
- 25 read a --

1 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Can you get closer to the 2 microphone? 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I want to read an 4 article from Interesting Engineering: China Builds 5 World's First Working Thorium Reactor Using Declassified US Documents. "In the Gobi Desert, 6 7 China has powered up the world's only working thorium 8 reactor. 9 "Chinese scientists have completed a major 10 breakthrough in clean energy by reloading fresh fuel 11 into a working thorium molten salt reactor. 12 "They did this while the reactor continued 13 running, marking a significant step forward in the 14 global push to use thorium as a safer and more 15 abundant alternative to uranium nuclear power." 16 So, yeah, I don't want to see a 17 uranium-based nuclear power plant, but thorium -- in 18 300 years, thorium is no longer a waste. You don't 19 need, you know, the diesel backup to cool the plant 20 down with thorium. They can just shut the reaction 21 down, and it will stop. 22 Now, you know, they built this in China. 23 It's running 2 megawatts. So, you know, they can do 24 the same thing here. Now, I know, you know, there is a lot of talk about trade wars in China and all this 25

- 1 other good stuff.
- 2 So we could possibly get some money from
- 3 the federal government to do a research project on
- 4 this. You know, get our power nonvisionable nuclear
- 5 and possibly get the federal government to pay for
- 6 it.
- 7 I just wanted to make the Commission aware
- 8 that thorium is an alternative for nuclear power
- 9 plants. So that's all I got to say.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 11 SPEAKER DUFFNER: Thank you for coming.
- 12 My name is Jimmy Duffner. And I'm an environmental
- 13 kind of nut. And I think this is my third or fourth
- 14 protest against nuclear energy here in Pueblo,
- 15 Colorado. And it has been overwhelmingly, at least
- 16 90 percent or better, against any kind of nuclear
- 17 power here in Pueblo.
- 18 I believe in this country. And I believe
- in Pueblo, Colorado. And to think that nuclear
- 20 energy is the only viable solution for the Comanche
- 21 plant is just silly. There is no way in the world
- you can convince me that the nuclear power plant is
- our only alternative.
- Like I said, 90 percent or more of us have
- been up here not wanting a nuclear power plant in

- 1 Pueblo. The people we haven't heard from is you. We
- 2 are up here trying to make an argument against a
- 3 nuclear power plant, and we don't know how you feel
- 4 about it.
- 5 We don't know what investigations you've
- 6 done. We don't know any kind of background
- 7 information you might have that would give us some
- 8 kind of a fighting chance to battle this thing. And
- 9 we would really like to hear from the Public
- 10 Utilities Commission on the viability of having a
- 11 nuclear power plant here.
- 12 And we all know the waste is going to be
- 13 stored on site. That was discussed two years ago.
- 14 We all know plutonium and uranium are going to be
- 15 used to kick off the reaction. That is going to be
- 16 stored on-site.
- 17 We all know that the energy that it is
- 18 going to produce is going to be super, super
- 19 expensive. And thank God we are not going to have to
- 20 pay for it. We just have to put up with the
- 21 pollution.
- The people up north are going to have to
- 23 pay for this electricity. And I really think you
- 24 ought to ask them if they think we should have a
- 25 nuclear power plant producing their energy that they

- 1 are going to have to pay for in Pueblo, Colorado.
- Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 4 Anybody who wants to talk, just come on
- 5 down.
- 6 SPEAKER RIPPERGER: Good evening. My name
- 7 is Barbara Ripperger. And I am a very proud resident
- 8 of Pueblo and Pueblo County.
- 9 I am against a nuclear power plant in
- 10 Pueblo, Colorado. I worry. I worry about the water.
- 11 I worry about the waste. I'm told that there is
- 12 plenty of water and that water is not an issue. Yes,
- there is water right now and probably tomorrow and
- 14 probably ten years from now. But what happens when
- 15 the drought comes? And it will.
- 16 If there is a problem, an accident -- and
- don't say that won't happen. I've been told that
- nuclear accidents happen more often than we are told.
- 19 And we are not God. We are humans, and we make
- 20 mistakes.
- 21 Huge amounts of water will be needed to
- 22 cool those watts. Are we going to dry up our
- farmland and the whole Arkansas Valley? What are the
- 24 plans for the contaminated water? Will it be slowly
- 25 dumped into the lands and the rivers?

- 1 And that brings me to the second worry,
- 2 the nuclear waste. It is not supposed to be -- it is
- 3 now supposed to be liveable, but waste is cumulative
- 4 over the months, over the years.
- 5 And what is to keep Pueblo from becoming a
- 6 nuclear waste dump for other states and agencies?
- 7 And then with the current federal administration's
- 8 plan to cut the EPA and environmental protections,
- 9 what will happen?
- 10 I support renewable energy. I support the
- 11 energy -- excuse me, the Renewable Energy Park and
- with solar, wind energy transmission, and energy
- 13 storage batteries.
- 14 Thank you for hearing me, and thank you
- for come coming to Pueblo.
- 16 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 17 SPEAKER PURCHASE: Thank you so much for
- 18 coming to Pueblo today. I'll try and keep it short
- 19 and sweet. Under a minute, hopefully.
- 20 I'll start off with my name is Nic
- 21 Purchase. I'm farmer/rancher in Avondale, Colorado,
- first generation. I'll start my statement off by
- saying as well that I'm not for or in opposition of
- what is being presented today, but I do want my
- 25 statement to reflect basically the workers and the

- 1 families here in Pueblo County.
- 2 So I thank you for letting me speak today.
- I may not be Pueblo born, but after living here, I
- 4 witnessed something extraordinary; a fierce pride and
- 5 resilience that runs as deep as the Arkansas River
- 6 basin which I have resided upon for 24 years.
- 7 I've seen firsthand how Denver treats this
- 8 community with neglect and indifference. For over
- 9 140 years, Pueblo steel mill has been the backbone of
- 10 this community. They didn't just forge the steel
- 11 here. They forged the railroads and bridges that
- 12 built this nation.
- 13 When I first arrived, many of the
- 14 old-timers I met told me stories of how their
- 15 grandparents and parents built America from this very
- 16 spot. That legacy matters. We were once considered
- the Ellis Island of the middle of the country.
- 18 Now Governor Polis and his Denver elite
- 19 want to destroy the livelihoods of nearly over 400
- 20 Colorado working families that reside in Pueblo. At
- 21 Comanche Station alone, we are talking about 170
- 22 direct workers and their families. Add to that the
- 23 65 workers that reside at the Hayden Station and 150
- 24 at the Craig Station.
- 25 I've met some of these workers. I've sat

at their kitchen tables. And they are not just
numbers. They are our neighbors who coach our kids'
baseball games, basketball games, and volunteer at
food banks.

We don't have to be born here to see how Denver has never cared about Pueblo. I watched from my new home as Denver politicians make promises they never kept. When our steel industry struggled here, they watched them build high-rises. When our unemployment rate soared, they shrugged.

And now they are making decisions about power plants and what should come here next which generate over 25 million in annual tax revenue for our county without understanding what it means to be a working-class community.

What struck me most when I moved here was how Pueblo takes care of its own. Not only have the power plants and the families that have worked there been the lifelines for this place, but they've all been for generations. They represent the history of Pueblo, a city of real people doing real work building real things.

We are talking about some of the last remaining jobs that can support a family on a single income, jobs that provided stability and dignity to

- our community for decades. And if they are not in
  the forefront of these conversations of what happens
  in the future, there is a problem.
- I may be a transplant, but I've grown to
  love this city's grit and determination. We can't
  wait for Denver to save us. They never have, never
  will. It is time for Pueblo to fight back just like
  we have always done.
- 9 Our history now and then shows that we
  10 have been survivors. And we will survive this, too,
  11 but not quietly accepting the destructive policies
  12 that threaten the way of life.
- And so I thank everyone that showed up in this room today and for your time.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- SPEAKER HENDRICH: Good evening. I guess
  ti's good evening now. Thank you for coming to
  Pueblo. My name is Mary Ellen Hendrich, and I'm a
  citizen of Pueblo. I'm newly retired.
  - I'm not sure who cooked up the nuclear power idea for Pueblo. I would like to have been a part of the commission on that. But it didn't seem like it was very open, which immediately alarmed me. I'm definitely not for nuclear power. You've heard plenty of warnings tonight, today, about nuclear

- 1 power. And they are pretty well-known.
- I did go on the Xcel website and read
- 3 about what Xcel is proposing, or at least the
- 4 proposal that was listed under them for this plan.
- 5 So I'll be talking about that.
- 6 Also, they had meetings with the community
- 7 over a year ago. And I did attend one of those.
- 8 They had four separate ideas with nice, big charts
- 9 that were informative.
- 10 I talked to three separate engineers from
- 11 Xcel that was at the meetings. Each one of them told
- me that they were not for nuclear power. And they
- had these four different proposals that they went
- over with the people that had attended the meeting.
- But I am definitely for renewable energy.
- 16 And the plan that I came across that they said Xcel
- 17 was working on was wind, solar, battery storage, and
- natural gas. And that is to hit the equivalent of
- 19 12.7 gigawatts or 14 gigawatts. They would need to
- 20 add the gas to that.
- 21 And this is something that I haven't heard
- addressed today, but I would like to point out that,
- for one thing, the coal-fired power plant only
- 24 generated 1 gigawatt of power. And what has been
- 25 listed that would be necessary for electricity needs

for this community is only 4.3 gigawatts. So we

don't really need a 12- to 14-gigawatt plant.

3 And there is other reasons, it appears,

4 that there is a big push for 14 gigawatts. I

5 understand one of them is definitely the tax base.

But personally, I would rather have less taxes. I

believe that other ways can be made to generate

taxes.

Pueblo has been very successful in other engineering. Of course, our steel plant for many, many years. There is many more industries that are in Pueblo and continue to come to Pueblo.

But let me just briefly go over this plan with the wind and the solar. Xcel had the report in 2023 on the price of these things. And they said that wind plus solar plus battery storage and solar alone plus storage is cheaper than if the gas -- natural gas is added. So that's a point to be taken.

And the amount -- okay. So 19 percent of the projected growth of needed power for electric vehicles -- okay. What is causing the drive for a 14-gigawatt plant? Not what you think. Nineteen percent of the growth is for electric vehicles.

Twelve percent is for electrification of homes and businesses.

So that is the total of the 4.3 that really is only needed for the community. The other 9 gigawatts would be for large loads, which would be predominantly data centers. And I'm against data centers, but especially for our community.

Also, it doesn't make sense to me for Colorado to have data centers. The average data center uses 300,000 gallons of water a day. And it's also listed that they can be between 300,000 gallons of water a day up to 500,000 gallons of water a day.

Where is Colorado going to get that water?

And water storage and having a water bank. Pueblo has very good water rights, but I want us to save them and put them in a Pueblo water bank and not give them to Xcel Energy to build the huge plant that isn't really needed here.

Now, I know there is a huge thrust for tax money and for jobs. But personally, if they want a 14-gigawatt plant, my belief is for them to go and build it somewhere else since they won't even share the power that is generated in the plant with us. So that is a lot of gall, as far as I'm concerned, to place the plant here and then expect us to take the hit of the water and possibly pollution.

And also, in terms of the gas plant, gas

- 1 produces a lot of pollution. And Xcel Energy already
- 2 has six large gas plants in the state of Colorado.
- 3 And they put out quite a bit of pollution. I have the
- 4 statistics here, but I can't find it. And I don't
- 5 really want to take too much more of your valuable
- 6 time.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you. And I guess
- given the number of people that are going to speak in
- 10 the hour, I think maybe let's really try and keep it
- 11 to three minutes.
- 12 And if you want to hold up a sign when
- people are getting close to three minutes.
- 14 SPEAKER BURCH: Feel free to cut me off.
- 15 My name is Barbara Burch. I grew up here. When I
- 16 was young growing up, the big things was the steel
- 17 mill. And everybody's parents and grandparents
- 18 worked there. And when I was in high school in the
- 19 '80s, the bottom fell out of that.
- 20 And now I'm hearing that the big thing
- 21 that we have hung our hats on is Xcel and that we are
- depending on this company for an enormous amount of
- very important tax money which we need for things
- that we need in this lower income, under-resourced
- 25 community.

And I say that -- I don't really think we
are under-resourced. We have vast resources. But
this is a community that does experience a lot of the
environmental racism of other -- you know, that we

5 know exists in this world.

I spoke at the town hall -- the first town hall for PIESAC. I spoke at the second town hall.

And I know you can't answer my questions, so I'm just going to ask you this question that maybe you might find out or -- I would love to ask this question of the room.

And I've asked it twice before, and no one could answer. It's kind of like gasping because you are like, oh, my gosh, I keep asking the same question. It seems kind of simple. No one can answer it. Francis Koncilja couldn't answer it. The brothers and sisters from the union couldn't answer it. The head of the union could not answer it. No one in the room could answer.

How many jobs were the people in Idaho promised when they made the decision to advance the SMR reactors there? How many jobs were they promised? It seems like a very simple question.

And the issue is we need the tax base. We need the good union jobs. But time after time after

time, this community, along with many others, has
been dangled a shiny object that turns out to be even
maybe work for a while. But when we put all our eggs
in one basket, it turns out time and time again to be
economic disaster.

Communities should not have to choose between those good jobs and their health and the future of their children. I was very excited to hear about the new Renewable Energy Park proposal because when I went to both of those town halls, I begged the county commissioners, please don't do this again. We have done this over and over and over where we put all our eggs in one basket. Please spend your time and energy searching for real broad-based economic development realities for Pueblo, Colorado.

And the problem with pursuing this thing -- I cannot believe we are still talking about the nuclear SMR plants. They are not going to materialize. They are too expensive. No communities will buy that energy at that cost.

And we will not see it in time to either create the jobs we need or, you know, stop climate change. It's like Steinbeckean out there. I don't know what it was like when you all drove in. It is like the Dust Bowl. It is like 1930s out there.

- 1 We are going to keep seeing more and more
- 2 environmental devastation, more and more drought,
- 3 more and more forest fires which are impacting all of
- 4 Colorado. If we don't do something about the climate
- 5 change, we are going to be in trouble.
- 6 So we need to build jobs. We need
- 7 renewables. Pueblo has the ability to be an
- 8 international leader on this issue. We should be
- 9 very proud of that. And we should do it. But we
- 10 should stop talking about some nuclear reactors that
- 11 are not going to happen in this country any time
- 12 soon.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 14 SPEAKER BLACKHURST: Thank you for coming.
- 15 I'm TJ Blackhurst. I'm a -- wasn't born here. I'm a
- 16 newbie. I've been here since 2000. But I've been an
- 17 educator for 25 years, 24 in District 70 in Pueblo.
- 18 Science teacher.
- 19 And I guess one of the things -- and I
- 20 apologize. I'm shooting from the hip a little bit
- 21 here. I have just heard a lot of the other comments,
- 22 and a lot of them I did not disagree -- or a lot of
- them I didn't agree with, so I felt like I needed to
- say something.
- 25 So anybody -- like looking at energy, like

you talk to experts who listen, and it is always all of the above, right? So nuclear, coal -- like, let me back up.

We have got one of the cleanest coal-powered plants in the country. Lights are on from that coal. And thank these gentlemen behind me for the work that they have put in. Lights are on. We have got that baseload power. As windy as it is today, it is too windy too actually run those windmills. We have got to shut them down.

So looking at -- we have got to keep our options open. I'm not against an energy park. I think it would be a great supplement to whatever baseload power we decide to go with. And the thing is, we have to have that baseload power behind it.

And the truth of the matter is, there is no perfect solution. When we do windmills -- we have talked about where we put the nuclear waste. Where are we putting all these fiberglass blades that we are having to recycle? They have a 20-year lifespan, at the most. Who do we do with all of those? That is not perfect.

All the concrete that it takes to put those in, that's calcium carbonate. We have to cut that off. That puts a lot of carbon in the air. A

- 1 lot of carbon used in that heating process as well.
- 2 Solar. That is far from a perfect
- 3 solution, too. We talk about environmental justice
- 4 and everything else. Nobody is talking about cobalt.
- 5 We need that for the batteries. We need that for the
- 6 solar panels. We have got little kids in Africa
- 7 doing that.
- 8 And we talk about environmental justice.
- 9 Like absolutely devastating what those kids go
- 10 through. You don't see very much of that, but that
- is where the cobalt is. And you've got corrupt
- 12 governments running that. That is a dirty secret
- that we don't talk about, but I think about that when
- 14 I see solar panels. It is not perfect.
- 15 And we also need those other precious
- metals, lithium, all those for that battery power.
- 17 China produces most of those. I'm not going to get
- into the politics of it. They just cut us off from
- our precious metals. That is going to be a huge
- 20 blow.
- 21 When we are talking about solar and wind,
- I love solar and wind. How did that work for north
- 23 Texas in 2021? No baseload power. Two hundred
- forty-six people died.
- 25 Modern nuclear is much cleaner. We have

- 1 nuclear submarines. We have aircraft carriers going
- 2 all around the world with zero leakage. You know,
- 3 some people don't trust the source, but we need to go
- 4 with what we know, what we can do, and what is
- 5 actually equitable.
- 6 Thank you for your time.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 8 SPEAKER RAMIREZ TORRES: Hola. My name is
- 9 Julion Ramirez Torres. And I'm going to be talking
- 10 about -- oh, by the way, these are my friends. I
- 11 grew up with them since middle school, Pete and
- 12 Bryson.
- 13 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Can you speak into the
- 14 microphone?
- 15 SPEAKER RAMIREZ TORRES: We grew up in
- 16 Bessemer. And we lived in a part of town that is
- 17 closer to Comanche. So we breathed more sulfur
- 18 dioxide and nitrogen oxide and mercury than other
- 19 people in Pueblo, especially living near the steel
- 20 mill.
- 21 And we are here because we have our whole
- 22 life ahead of us. And we want to live in a cleaner
- 23 Pueblo. Just a cleaner sustainable environment so
- our generations -- the generations ahead of us as
- 25 well could also live.

And we think that the energy park is a great idea because it will create more jobs and opportunities for us when we are older. And we need more opportunities since there is not really much

And I think Pueblo students can be part of
a green economic engine from techs to trades. Plus,
an energy park will offer a safer, cleaner
alternative to nuclear. No radioactive waste or
health risk because we want to be healthy. And we
hope you support the energy park concept.

Thank you.

now.

13 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.

SPEAKER MORGAN: Those kids were cute.

My name is Suzanne Morgan, and I'm a

Pueblo resident. And, you know, I'm going to talk a

little bit about social justice and this crazy thing
called nuclear power.

You know, Pueblo has borne the burden of the rest of the state. And I hope you hear us. And I hope you heard everybody talking here about how tired the citizens of Pueblo are about being lied to. About how tired we are to be living in waste. And how tired we are of what the woman said, the next new shiny thing.

And I hope you understand and I hope you
hear us that we want great jobs. We want all these
union guys and gals to have fantastic jobs. But at
what risk? And there shouldn't be a risk. And we
shouldn't be talking about social justice. And we
shouldn't be talking about how Pueblo has been the
utility closet for the rest of the state.

You know, Comanche is clean. They have worked so very hard to do that. And with the disconnect with politics somehow or another, you know, that has been deemed bad.

But where is our social justice? You know, we have 51,000 acres of solar in Pueblo County. 51,000 acres. How many union men and women were on those jobs? Well, that's a lie. Where is the social justice in that? How come our union guys and gals didn't get those jobs?

We talk about fair and equitable. When we bear the burden of the waste, we bear the burden of it down here so communities in more affluent neighborhoods in Aurora can have cheap power. Where is the social justice there?

Where was the social justice with Rocky
Flats? I'm old enough to remember it. I drove by it
going to school in Boulder. Where was the social

justice? Where was the nuclear regulatory committee
that is supposed to be the whole end-all be-all for
the nuclear power? They are the watchdogs.

And it took environmentalists flying around to figure out that they were burning plutonium in the middle of the night. So where was the social justice there?

In 2012, there was an article in the AP, and it said that tritium, which is a radioactive form of hydrogen, has leaked out of the 48 out of 65 sites. What about those communities? What about those communities? How were those communities made whole? Where is the social justice for those people living in those communities? Thirty-seven of those facilities contain concentrations exceeding the federal drinking water standard, and sometimes at hundreds of the limits.

I don't think that there is anybody in this room, I don't think that there is anybody in the city of Pueblo or the county of Pueblo, maybe even this nation, that trusts our government. I don't think that there is anybody here that trusts a governmental organization at this point to keep us safe, to keep us healthy, and especially in Pueblo where you know now our demographics.

- You know how we have been lied to. You
  know because you just heard it tonight. And you know
  we desperately still want jobs. And we want our
  community to thrive. But we don't need to have it
  thriving at the risk of anything nuclear at this
- 7 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.

6

point.

- 8 SPEAKER KERN: Good evening,
- 9 Commissioners. I'm Wendi Kern. I spoke at two of 10 the meetings last year -- or last winter.
- I hope you hear us. I hope you see us.

  We are not the baby in the bath water, right? We
- 13 can't be thrown out and disposed of.
- In 2024, Pueblo is the fifth poorest city
  in the state of Colorado. And it is because of our
  demographics. And it is because of what goes on here
  in Pueblo.
- And I think the state, the feds, everybody
  looks at us as a place that's disposable. You know,
  it's not a big risk to get rid of us if something
  happens because of our poor people that live here,
  our elderly people that live here, our economy that
  just doesn't seem to want to grow very much here. So
  I think that's a really important issue to look at.
- 25 Last year after one of the meetings, I

- 1 spoke with Mayor Graham after the meeting. And I
- 2 said, I don't understand. We are going to
- decommission in eight years, but it is going to take
- 4 you ten years to build it? Where is our energy going
- 5 to come from? She goes, oh, that's not a problem.
- 6 Our energy comes into Pueblo. This energy will go
- 7 out of Pueblo. Once again, we provide somebody else
- 8 something else.
- 9 And then she really got down to it -- and
- 10 I heard several people speak to this tonight is the
- 11 revenue to the county and to the city. Our county
- 12 commissioners said 10 percent is going to be the
- 13 revenue loss there.
- So I said, if we build a hamster farm
- 15 there and it produces that kind of revenue, is that
- 16 what we need? It is not the site. It's not nuclear.
- 17 It's what that land in Pueblo generates for revenue
- here in Pueblo. And I think that's what our
- 19 government here in Pueblo is so concerned about.
- 20 It's not that we are going to get a nuclear power
- 21 plant.
- My friend Suzanne talked about the 51,000
- acres. It is actually 57,000 acres of solar here in
- 24 Pueblo. I live near 10,000 of those acres. Try
- 25 living there. The dust storm that we saw today is

nothing. The waste and devastation of theenvironment is nothing.

I ran into a gentleman on Monday that was plotting out another gas line from a company out of Louisiana. We started talking about the electricity. He said those overhead high tension power lines actually deteriorates gas lines underground faster.

I think something that nobody has really touched upon is, are we a target of opportunity?

Twenty years ago, a target of opportunity was a crazy notion. And in this day and age, a target of opportunity for a terrorist group, for somebody that wants to do some mass devastation in our community, I think is a real factor.

We are at 57,000 acres of solar, and we are growing. We have major, major gas lines that run through Pueblo. We are now talking about having a nuclear power plant. All the solar facilities that have come in have been installed. Battery storage facilities.

I have a marijuana grow half a block down the street from me, and it was owned by multiple -- they transferred it in two years -- Asians out of New York. It is a solid building. They could have easily destroyed something. We can't put all of this

- 1 energy in Pueblo and think we are going to be safe
- 2 because it's not going to happen.
- 3 And Rocky Mountain Arsenal. I had a
- 4 friend that worked on the board up there for years.
- 5 There were two-headed frogs, five legs. They brought
- 6 in a new herd of deer every single year. And they
- 7 told the community it was safe.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 10 SPEAKER STIMMEL: Hello. My name is Logan
- 11 Stimmel, and I go to Central High School.
- 12 These are my friends Caden and Aaron. And
- we have known each other since elementary school.
- 14 And we are very worried for our future because
- nuclear energy isn't as safe as it could be or as the
- solar power and other types of power.
- 17 And the Pueblo energy park thing is more
- than 350 permanent jobs across the clean energy
- 19 industry. And it will pay up to \$40 million a year
- in total taxes that could fund schools and libraries.
- 21 It keeps clean energy local for local benefit.
- It is safer than nuclear energy. And it
- has no radioactive materials. And it provides no
- long-term risks built step by step with community
- 25 input and economic fairness in mind. Choose Pueblo's

- 1 future and go with the Renewable Energy Park.
- 2 And thank you for your time.
- 3 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 4 SPEAKER PACE: Hello. My name is Alana
- 5 Pace. I have lived in Pueblo my entire life. When I
- 6 came here tonight, I didn't know I would speak. But
- 7 after listening tonight, I felt like I needed -- it
- 8 was needed.
- 9 I think it is important what they said
- 10 here today that as I and many more grow up here, we
- 11 want a clean and healthy community and not to be hurt
- or sick by a nuclear power plant.
- 13 When people have a solution but all we
- 14 need is support of the Renewable Energy Park, I hope
- 15 you take this into consideration and support the
- 16 Renewable Energy Park. Thank you for listening to me
- 17 and everyone who spoke and came here tonight.
- Thank you very much.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you
- 20 SPEAKER SANDOVAL: Good evening. I'm Sol
- 21 Sandoval. I am not representing any organizations
- 22 today. I'm here because I am a mother who is raising
- two children, and I want a healthy future for my
- children and my grandchildren.
- I'm here to support an energy park. It

will create permanent high-skilled jobs and
renewables and manufacturing that can open up
opportunities for students who usually pack their
bags and leave upon graduation because there are no
opportunities here.

I do not support radioactive waste and more health risks in my community. The energy park will support families and trade education. Pueblo experiences an average of over 300 sunny days each year. And you saw how windy it gets on the way in.

But on a serious note, while I share great reasons to support an energy park, the most important reason is people. Our communities matter. Our children matter. Our families matter. Pueblo is not a dumpsite.

To all the investors, stop praying on us. Nuclear is too risky. Let's work towards an energy portfolio. Pueblo deserves better than nuclear. And when you are making a decision, think about all the children that were here today before you because that's why we are here today.

Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Thank you for coming to Pueblo. I hope you have a nice stay here.

I just wanted to share that I've lived

- here for six years. I moved here from Fort Collins
  where I had lived for 35 years. And at one point,
- 3 after 35 years of paying rent, I was given 30 days to
  4 get out.

And I remember that at the city council,
somebody talked about Pueblo being a thing with the
solar energy. And I thought, I'm going to have to go
see Pueblo. So I came down here and drove around for
eight hours that day to see if I wanted to live here.
And I was so impressed by the people and the history.
This town -- this city has a wonderful historic

heritage, diversity, farming history.

So I really would like to say that if we put the nuclear plant here, nobody would want to -- not many people would want to move here. I know it would have been a definitely no, no. And you probably can imagine that nobody would want a nuclear plant up in Fort Collins or that area, so why here?

We are a poor community. We already have a lot of problems. But there is a lot of beauty here and a lot of wonderful people. And please support the Renewable Energy Park.

And also, we are going to have a Leonardo da Vinci museum, the only one in North America. And I think that would work great with the idea of future

- 1 technologies and support the skills and the vision
- 2 that people have in this community and that have had
- for so many years. There is a lot of beauty and
- 4 history.
- 5 And so anyway, thank you for coming. I
- 6 hope you enjoy your stay here.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you.
- 8 Is there anyone else who would like to
- 9 offer comments?
- 10 SPEAKER EWING: My name is Peter Ewing. I
- 11 waited -- I actually came on oxygen, so I'll be very
- 12 brief.
- 13 I wanted to support Commissioner Griego
- 14 with what he said. I met him in the spring at the --
- there was something called the Pueblo Energy Summit
- 16 last year. And it was also right here at CSU-Pueblo.
- 17 So I want to align my remarks with his.
- 18 And the House Bill 25-1040 -- I was able
- 19 to listen to that testimony. There were
- 20 representatives from advanced nuclear companies.
- 21 Also the IBEW Local workers spoke. And Sara
- 22 Blackhurst spoke. And she's already spoken.
- So I found out later -- sorry. I've only
- been in Pueblo three years. I found out about the
- 25 PUC hearings and the PIESAC document just within the

- 1 last year. So I read the PIESAC document. And Sara
- 2 Blackhurst is one of the authors.
- What I want to say about that was it's --
- 4 I guess it took ten months to complete. It had more
- 5 than a dozen authors. So that would be like a
- 6 graduate class here at CSU-Pueblo taking two
- 7 semesters on one project. So I want to thank all the
- 8 people that completed the PIESAC document.
- 9 The bottom of Page 22, advanced nuclear is
- 10 the clear alternative. It's cheaper and safer than
- 11 conventional nuclear. Just like anybody who has any
- 12 question at all, please just Google advantages of
- 13 advanced nuclear.
- 14 Moving on. All states surrounding
- 15 Colorado except Oklahoma -- they already use or plan
- to use nuclear. Arizona, Utah, and Wyoming plan to
- 17 use advanced nuclear.
- 18 Solar uses 75 times more land than
- 19 nuclear, and wind uses 300 times more land. So those
- 20 will be large scenic obstructions in the beautiful
- 21 Colorado scenery that everybody loves.
- 22 On the mining side, solar and wind have a
- 23 larger mining footprint than uranium because of the
- rare earth elements required for wind and solar.
- 25 Anybody who wants, please just Google that, too.

- What is the money footprint of wind and solar versus
- 2 uranium mining?
- 3 So to end as positively as I can, cleanup
- 4 of the Cotter Uranium Mill in Canon City by the
- 5 Colorado Legacy Land Corporation has begun. And I
- 6 don't mean to stray from this hearing. Public
- 7 comments for that clean-up effort are still being
- 8 accepted by the CDPHE through next Thursday. And I
- 9 have the website if anybody wants it.
- 10 My name is Peter Ewing. And I thank the
- 11 PUC Commissioners for letting me speak. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you. Anyone else
- 13 who would like to speak?
- 14 SPEAKER DYPLOD: My name is Douglas
- 15 Dyplod. I was signed up to speak when we first came
- in here, but those lists seem to have gotten lost.
- 17 My thoughts have not.
- And that is that in stark contrast to many
- of the people here that you've heard today, we came
- 20 here seven years ago. Many things drew us here.
- 21 One, the climate. When we visited here, we got to
- see the Arkansas Riverwalk. Went down and took many
- of the Arkansas River trails that go between Lake
- 24 Pueblo and Runyon Lake.
- 25 The other thing that I was fascinated

- 1 because my life was spent as a union contractor as a
- 2 plumber. I was a member of 143 out of Madison,
- 3 Wisconsin, and later the Local 75 out of Milwaukee.
- 4 The last 15 years of my life, I devoted to renewable
- 5 energies doing solar thermal projects.
- 6 And it is a great disappointment to me to
- 7 see that the solar thermal field has not been
- 8 explored here in Pueblo at all. And so when I heard
- 9 about this park that is proposed, I thought this is
- 10 it. This is inspiring.
- 11 Because the other thing that inspired us
- when we moved here was to go out to EVRAZ and see the
- only steel mill in the world powered by solar fields.
- 14 I don't find those solar fields ugly. I find this is
- 15 something that we are going to need to exploit more
- 16 and more.
- 17 The great thing to me about supporting an
- 18 energy park here is the innovation that will happen.
- 19 Because when you put creative people together, it
- inspires more. And suddenly, instead of having 10
- 21 times the return, you get 50 times the return because
- the energy builds on itself.
- 23 And I certainly hope that you will keep
- this in mind when you do make a decision. I love
- 25 this city. I've been very, very happy here. And I

- 1 think it will attract a lot more people if you just
- 2 put the right thing in place.
- Thank you for your time.
- 4 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you. Anyone else?
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So not to beat this
- 6 horse to death, but is nuclear safe? Well, yes and
- 7 no. As long as nothing goes wrong. And something
- always goes wrong.
- 9 Fifty-seven accidents and severe incidents
- 10 have occurred since Chernobyl. And there were 56 of
- 11 those have occurred in the United States. Fully
- 12 two-thirds of the world's nuclear accidents have
- happened in the US.
- 14 Pueblo will be a permanent -- temporary
- 15 storage site for waste. And I say temporary because
- it has no plans to deal with 100,000 tons of
- 17 radioactive waste or how to dispose of it.
- 18 You know, Xcel should really put this
- 19 plant in someplace where the recipients of the power
- are. For them to put this down here and have us deal
- 21 with the problems and them get the electricity is a
- 22 little bit disingenuous. I think if it was a good
- idea, they would be putting this up in Denver County
- or somewhere up there.
- 25 But obviously, after Rocky Flats, I think

they have got a taste of what nuclear accidents are
like. And that one was swept under the rug until FBI
agents raided Rocky Flats and exposed the problem.
And there is still radiation blowing up out of there
during dust storms being collected in test samples
around the periphery. So to say that nothing could
go wrong is a fallacy.

The other thing is if we just set that aside and we say nothing will ever go wrong, we will have a perfect safety record, no screw-ups. Nothing spells bad for business like nuclear. Pueblo has had a dark cloud over its skies for the last 130 years.

And the last thing we need down here when we are trying to get more tourism -- and that is the thing we get most of our money from -- is to have something like a nuclear power plant down here. It is just a bad vibe, and nobody wants to be around the things.

So whether it is safe or not is one argument. And whether we want it here is another argument. The construction jobs are not going to happen for Pueblo. That is pretty obvious. They will bring in an outside contractor. And the jobs around the plant won't happen in any of these guys' working lifetime. So we can write that off, too.

- 1 We all know about the costs and how those 2 can escalate completely out of control. So nuclear 3 far outpaces the other energy in costs, and it is a 4 nightmare for the taxpayer. So anyway, these costs 5 just blow up and get completely out of control. That's about all I have to say. Thanks. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thank you. Anyone else 8 like to speak? Going once, going twice. 9 Well, thanks so much for coming out. 10 a pleasure to be here and hear from you all. It's a 11 critical part of what we are doing. Again, hearings 12 in June and a decision late summer or early fall. 13 Commissioner Gilman? Anything you would 14 like to sav? 15 COMMISSIONER GILMAN: Thank you all for 16 sharing your afternoon and now part of your evening 17 with us. And it really was a pleasure coming down 18 here and hearing, first of all, all of your pride in 19 Pueblo, your passion, and really hearing your voices. 20 We very much appreciate this as part of the process. 21 And we hear you. 22 And thank you so much for coming out
- 23 tonight. Really appreciate it.24 COMMISSIONER PLANT: I just want to echo
- 25 my thanks as well. It's fantastic to get to see

```
1
       folks in the community face-to-face and to hear your
       stories and to hear your positions. So I really
2
3
       appreciate you taking the time to share with us.
4
                   CHAIRMAN BLANK: Thanks so much for
5
       sharing. And with that, we are adjourned. Thank
             Have a good evening. Thanks for coming out.
6
7
                   (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	The above and foregoing is a true and
4	complete transcription of my stenographic notes taken
5	in my capacity as Hearings Reporter for the
6	Department of Regulatory Agency in Denver, Colorado,
7	at the time and place noted.
8	Dated at Colorado Springs, Colorado, this
9	23rd day of April, 2025.
10	Hearings Reporter
11	near mgs kepor ter
12	_ <u>/s/ <i>Tracy D. Boulais</i></u> Tracy D. Boulais, RPR, RMR, CRR
13	Tracy D. Bourars, Kirk, Kirk, CKK
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	